NATIONAL VANGUARD

Toward a New Consciousness; a New Order; a New People.

Number 122
March-April 2004
$4.00



The Anthrax Mystery: Solved

NATIONAL VANGUARD
Toward a New Consciousness; a New Order; a New People.

Number 122
March-April 2004

Contents

2 It's Time to Join Your Efforts to Ours
The National Alliance is the one active organization with the goal of building a new White world.

3 The Anthrax Mystery: Solved
Long-time NATIONAL VANGUARD researcher Robert Pate exposes the hidden agenda behind the worst-ever incident of bioterrorism on American soil.

6 The Death of Dov Eitan, Part II
The mysterious death of Demjanjuk defense attorney Dov Eitan provides insight into the labyrinthine motives of 'good Jews.' By Paul Westman.

9 From the American Gulag: David Duke Speaks
Pro-White activist David Duke speaks, from behind prison walls, with Ben Parker on his life, his books, and his plans for the future.

12 News from the Homelands
Mark Cotterill brings us European news: homosexual outrages in Norway and Scotland, resistance to the Jews in Greece, nationalist advances in France and Holland, and much more.

16 Situation Terminal, But Not Serious
Bob Whitaker on the Drug War, national defeatism, and 'anti-racist' conservatives.

18 Postscripts, by Revilo P. Oliver
'Latin, Discipline, and the Decline of Education,' and 'The B'nai Birch.'

30 Books
Robert S. Griffin draws lessons from Lincoln Rockwell's *This Time the World*.

Cover: *From Tel Aviv to Trenton*, a digital work by A. Martorelli, inspired by Robert Pate's article in this issue.

Editorial by Kevin Alfred Strom

It's Time to Join Your Efforts to Ours



IN OUR LAST ISSUE I announced the launch of our real-time news adjunct to NATIONAL VANGUARD magazine, NationalVanguard.org. Its success has greatly exceeded our expectations. With world-class writing and research, up-to-the-minute information on national and world stories, written from the White person's point of view, and with the latest news from and about National Alliance activists and Local Units, NationalVanguard.org has become *the* place to go for news and information. With a rising readership curve approaching a third of a million page views per month, we're on the right track. And we're being increasingly cited as a source by the dominant media and by alternative sites all over the 'Net. But it isn't only in cyberspace that we're succeeding.

In this issue of NATIONAL VANGUARD, for example, we offer "The Anthrax Mystery: Solved," a groundbreaking piece of investigative journalism from veteran researcher Robert Pate, which is sure to make waves in the larger society—especially among the well-informed and growing minority in the West that is coming to perceive the Zionist machinations that recently took America to war for Israel in the Middle East.

We've stepped up the publication frequency of both NATIONAL VANGUARD and our sister youth- and music-oriented publication *Resistance* magazine, as well as our monthly members-only *Bulletin*. We still have some catching up to do with *Free Speech* magazine, but our newly-expanded staff is in place and planning to make 2004 a year in which our combined periodical output is greater than at any time in our history. When you add the increased Internet readership we've garnered at all our sites, it's safe to say that a record number of White men and women are receiving the National Alliance message. And the Alliance itself has never been more successful than it is today. Despite some setbacks in a few ar-

continued on page 29

Publisher: Erich Gliebe; **Editor:** Kevin Alfred Strom; **Contributing Editors:** Justin Cowgill, Ben Parker, S. M. Casper

NATIONAL VANGUARD, founded in 1970 by Dr. William L. Pierce, is published by National Vanguard Books, Inc., a non-profit corporation. All contents ©2003 by National Vanguard Books, Inc. Opinions expressed herein are not necessarily those of National Vanguard Books.

Subscription prices: $18 for six issues whenever published via surface mail in the United States; $26 via surface mail in Canada; $36 for foreign air mail. Send subscriptions to National Vanguard Books, Post Office Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946, USA; subscribe online at natvan.com or nationalvanguard.org. Please write for prices on bulk quantities for resale or distribution.

Submissions of articles or letters for publication cannot be returned and may be sent to the address above. Electronic submissions, which are preferred, may be sent to kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com.

The symbol which appears in the **NATIONAL VANGUARD** logotype is the Life Rune. It comes from an ancient alphabet, or *futhark*, used in northern Europe for many centuries before the general adoption of the Roman alphabet there. The Life Rune signifies life, creation, birth, rebirth, and renewal. It expresses in a single symbol the *raison d'etre* of **NATIONAL VANGUARD** and of the movement of Aryan renewal.

The ANTHRAX MYSTERY: SOLVED

by Robert Pate

On October 4, 2001, reporters in Florida announced the first case in 25 years of a person contracting the deadly bacterium anthrax. The following day, Robert Stevens, the photo editor of the Florida-based tabloid *Sun*, died. His death was the beginning of the Anthrax Mystery, America's worst—and most baffling—case of bio-terrorism. Days later, four other persons in the New York City and Washington, DC areas would die from anthrax spores that leaked from tainted letters sent through the mail. Seventeen others would become infected, and hundreds of millions of dollars would be spent on cleaning up contaminated office buildings and postal facilities. All of these events came just a few days after the tragedy of September 11. It appeared the terrorists had struck again, but this time the attack was biological.

Investigators believe seven letters containing anthrax spores were mailed. Four of the seven are thought to have been mailed on the same day and addressed to major media outlets in New York City: ABC News, CBS News, NBC News, and the *New York Post*. Of these, only the NBC and *New York Post* letters were recovered. Letters believed to be addressed to ABC News and CBS News offices caused two persons to develop anthrax infections. One was a seven-month-old boy brought in by his mother, a producer at ABC News; the other was an assistant to Dan Rather at CBS. These letters were never found and were likely discarded.

The two recovered letters did not have a return address but were postmarked September 18, 2001 in Trenton, New Jersey. These letters contained identical messages tending to indicate that the perpetrators were Islamic terrorists. The notes read, "09-11-01, THIS IS NEXT, TAKE PENACILIN [*sic*] NOW, DEATH TO AMERICA, DEATH TO ISRAEL, ALLAH IS GREAT."

It is also believed an anthrax-laced letter was mailed to the *National Enquirer*'s old mailing address and forwarded to their new offices at American Media Inc. in Boca Raton, Florida. Anthrax spores were found at the postal facility that held the *National Enquirer*'s old P.O. Box address. American Media also publishes the tabloid *Sun* where Mr. Stevens worked. Investigators have never been able to located the anthrax letter addressed to the *National Enquirer*.

Interestingly, hoax letters claiming to contain anthrax were mailed days later from St. Petersburg, Florida. However, instead of anthrax spores, these letters contained a harmless substance described by some as looking like talcum powder. One of these hoax letters was again addressed to NBC News in New York City. In addition, Judith Miller, the author of a book on bio-terrorism and a reporter on the Middle East for the *New York Times*, received an anthrax hoax letter at her office. The *St. Petersburg Times* and Fox News also received similar hoax letters.

The mailing of hoax letters cannot be considered the work of a copycat. For example, the NBC News hoax letter was mailed on September 20. This would have been two days after the NBC News letter containing anthrax was mailed from Trenton, New Jersey. The remaining hoax letters were mailed between October 5 and 9. News reports naming media outlets that received the original anthrax letters were on October 12 and 13. A copycat mailer could have acted only after October 12, when the public first became aware of the media anthrax letters. The person who sent the hoax letters was aware of the mailings of the original anthrax letters. The apparent purpose of mailing the hoax letters was to foster the anthrax scare and create a media frenzy.



This letter was sent to NBC's Tom Brokaw, along with coarse granules of anthrax capable of causing skin infections. It never reached him, though an aide tested positive. The deadlier 'weapons-grade' anthrax was reserved for the letters sent to Senators Daschle and Leahy.

The mailings of anthrax-laced letters from New Jersey and the Florida hoax letters that followed were probably coordinated. It is likely that at least two individuals were involved in the mailings. Experts who have seen the block lettering on the envelopes of the hoax letters and anthrax letters have concluded two different persons were responsible.

The mailing sites of Trenton, New Jersey and St. Petersburg, Florida were chosen, perhaps, to emphasize an Arab or Islamic connection in the minds of Americans. Trenton is the home of a large Arab-American community. Also, several of the September 11 hijackers had lived in both areas. The mailers of the anthrax and hoax letters wanted the public to think that remnants of al-Qaeda were still around and active.

However, the mailing of the anthrax letters shows a degree of media savvy that would be un-

BROKAW STEVENS DASCHLE LEAHY

usual for foreign Islamic terrorists. The persons who sent the letters knew which media outlets would have the greatest influence on the public. Even today, with the advent of cable and satellite television, the three major television broadcast networks remain as the primary source of news for most Americans. The *New York Post* and the *National Enquirer* are tabloid papers and were likely chosen for their sensational headlines. Of all the papers in New York City, the *New York Post* would have screamed the loudest concerning the threat from Islamic terrorists. For Americans who don't follow the news, the *National Enquirer*, with its presence at every checkout stand in America, would convey the message. The desired effect in choosing these media outlets was to alarm the public and to remind them these terrorists wanted to destroy both America and Israel.

But only five of the seven anthrax letters were sent to media outlets. The remaining two were addressed to members of the United States Senate. These letters were posted on Tuesday, October 9, exactly three weeks from the first anthrax mailings, and four weeks from September 11. The letters were addressed to two of America's most liberal Democrat Senators: Tom Daschle of South Dakota and Patrick Leahy of Vermont.

An aide opened the Daschle letter on October 15. A puff of powder quickly flew out of the letter. Capitol police were called and a Hazmat team sealed off the Senator's office. This letter had a different note that read, "09-11-01, YOU CAN NOT STOP US. WE HAVE THIS ANTHRAX. YOU DIE NOW. ARE YOU AFRAID? DEATH TO AMERICA. DEATH TO ISRAEL. ALLAH IS GREAT."

Once tests confirmed the Daschle letter actually contained anthrax, all Capitol mail was stopped and impounded for further investigation. The Leahy letter would be found on November 16 in the impounded mail. It also contained anthrax spores and an identical note. It is believed the Leahy letter was delayed and misdirected due to an initial misreading of the letter's zip code.

The Senate letters had a fictitious return address indicating they came from school children. The return address read, "4th Grade, Greendale School, Franklin Park NJ 08852." Apparently, it was thought a letter coming from school children would have a better chance of reaching the intended targets without raising suspicions.

The envelopes and notes have yielded few clues leading to the true identities of the persons who were responsible for the anthrax attacks. Obviously, the senders tried to mask who they were and to hide their true motives. Investigators turned to analyzing the anthrax material itself.

Anthrax is a spore-forming germ, *Bacillus anthracis*, and can be found in livestock such as sheep or cattle. Analysis of the spores from the letters reveled them all to be of the same strain. This particular strain, known as the Ames strain, was first researched at the Army's Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID), Fort Detrick, Maryland. This strain of anthrax bacteria originally came from a single cow that had died in Texas in 1981. Ames has the reputation of being deadlier than other anthrax strains. Some have called Ames the "gold standard" of anthrax.

From Fort Detrick, the Ames strain was sent to researchers in at least fifteen laboratories within the United States and six laboratories abroad. It is not known how many other universities and labs may have had access to the strain, but according to one law-enforcement official "more labs than you think" could have obtained the Ames strain.

In the past, microbiologists attending conferences on infectious diseases would take vials of various strains and simply swap them with each other to aid in their research. Martin Hugh-Jones, a scientist at Louisiana State University, stated that during this period deadly pathogens were traded "like playing cards." In the real pre-9-11 scenario, any microbiologist in the world might have obtained the Ames strain.

Investigators examining the anthrax spores within the letters found them to be of different grades, with the best among them considered by some to be "weapons grade" material. A modern spray drying technique was used in preparing these spores, instead of the older method of milling. Also, radiocarbon dating found the spores to be releatively newly-created—not more than two years old.

Of the two anthrax letters that were recovered in New York City, the *New York Post* letter was found unopened and still contained the anthrax material. The NBC News anthrax letter tested positive for anthrax, but only a trace amount remained after it was opened. Major General John Parker, with the U.S. Army Medical Research and Material Command, said one of his scientists described the *New York Post* sample as, "looking like Purina Dog Chow." It had coarse brown granules but was densely packed with anthrax spores and highly concentrated.

In contrast, the anthrax in the Daschle letter was like a fine white powder; General Parker compared it to talcum powder. Under the microscope the Daschle sample was ten times denser in anthrax spores when compared to the *New York Post* sample. The *New York Times* described the anthrax from

the Daschle letter as consisting of nearly pure spores, with a concentration of a trillion spores per gram. However, the spores tended to cluster together, creating particles of variable size. Some of these particles would have been too large to penetrate human lungs.

A team of scientists at USAMRIID opened the Leahy letter on December 5. This letter also contained a very fine powder which was easily made airborne. The Leahy letter had particles that were smaller and more uniform in size compared to the Daschle letter. This made the anthrax spores in the Leahy letter even deadlier: Smaller spores of a uniform size have a better chance of entering the respiratory system and causing death.

It was reported the quality of the anthrax spores found in the Leahy letter *surpassed previously known state-sponsored bio-weapons programs*. According to *Newsweek*, "The Leahy anthrax...was coated with a chemical compound unknown to experts who have worked in the field for years; the coating matches no known anthrax samples ever recovered from biological-weapons producers anywhere in the world, including Iraq and the former Soviet Union." The anthrax in the Leahy letter has proven to be a superior product. Bio-defense experts assisting the FBI have so far been unable to duplicate the anthrax material through any reverse engineering processes. Investigators are left with the uncertain choice of deciding if this was the work of a lone brilliant scientist—or a state-sponsored bio-weapons program.

So who sent the anthrax? Some, like Barbara Hatch Rosenberg with the leftist Federation of America Scientists (FAS), have a suspect. In her report, "Analysis of the Anthrax Attacks," Rosenberg presents her profile of the anthrax attacker, accompanied by her own political biases. Rosenberg believes the attacker has connections with the U.S. government. She believes he is being protected by the FBI and has information on secret programs the government does not wish to be disclosed.

In her profile, Rosenberg identified the attacker as a bio-defense insider with a doctoral degree. He is a middle-aged American who is skilled in working with dangerous pathogens. She boldly claims he is also a CIA contractor based in the Washington, DC area. There is no mystery as to the identity of Rosenberg's suspect. Her suspect is the person around whom she has built her profile: Dr. Steven J. Hatfill.

Barbara Rosenberg began her mission to 'bring Dr. Hatfill to justice' by giving a series of lectures and media interviews accusing the FBI of stalling the investigation. Eventually, she was able to arrange a meeting with Senate staffers and FBI agents in attendance. Several of the staffers worked for Senators Daschle and Leahy, the recipients of the anthrax letters. Without revealing his name, Barbara Rosenberg indicated to everyone there that her suspect, Dr. Hatfill, was responsible for the anthrax attacks.

A week later—apparently as a result of Senate pressure—the FBI brought Dr. Hatfill into public view. In an investigation that had previously been out of the spotlight, the media was now alerted to the FBI's scrutiny of Rosenberg's suspect. When the FBI searched Dr. Hatfill's apartment, the media, with helicopters overhead, covered it live on national television. The whole world was now aware of the public pursuit (and some would later say the public persecution) of Dr. Hatfill.

When questioned by reporters concerning the attention Dr. Hatfill was getting, Attorney General John Ashcroft declared Hatfill to be not a suspect but a "person of interest." A few commentators who follow legal issues have noted this "person of interest" expression is not referenced in any law books and, until recently, has not been a term used in investigations. Jonathan Shapiro, an attorney for Dr. Hatfill, referred to the term and said, "It's a way for the Justice Department to tiptoe around the fact they're crushing someone, ruining their lives, and not get sued by it. [*sic*]"

In response to this attention, Dr. Hatfill was forced to hold two press conferences and declare his innocence. On Aug. 11, 2002, Dr. Hatfill said, "After eight months of one of the most intensive public and private investigations in American history, no one—*no one*—has come up with a shred of evidence that I had anything to do with the anthrax letters."

Whatever their motives, the FBI was certainly having problems connecting Dr. Hatfill to the crimes: They could not place Hatfill in Trenton, New Jersey at the time of the mailings, and no residue of anthrax material could be found anywhere he had lived or visited. There was no physical evidence whatsoever connecting Dr. Hatfill with the anthrax mailings.

Hatfill is said to be one of perhaps 30 scientists who could have carried out this attack. His background as a former researcher at USAMRIID Fort Detrick, Maryland placed him on the list. However, Dr. Hatfill claims to be an expert on viruses such as Ebola and Marburg, and not on anthrax bacteria. Dr. Hatfill has publicly stated that he has never worked with anthrax.

The FBI initially interviewed Dr. Hatfill in January 2002, apparently as part of the investigation's broader look at scientists with a connection to the bio-defense community. At that time, Dr. Hatfill took a lie-detector test in an effort to clear his name. The agent who gave him the test reportedly said, "I'm satisfied. I believe you had nothing to do with the anthrax."



Trenton, NJ postal worker Patrick O'Donnell being treated for cutaneous anthrax. He survived his ordeal, during which his neck swelled up to almost twice its normal size.

Steven Hatfill was born in St. Louis, Missouri, and grew up in Mattoon, Illinois. In the early 1970s he studied biology at Southwestern College in Winfield, Kansas. During this period, he left his studies and traveled to Kapanga, Zaire, where he worked with Dr. Glenn Eschtruth as a medical missionary. After graduating from Southwestern in 1975, Hatfill enlisted in the United

continued on page 22

The Death of Dov Eitan

by Paul Westman

PART II

Part I of this article, published in NATIONAL VANGUARD Number 121, detailed the mysterious death—termed 'suicide'—of one of John Demjanjuk's Jewish defense attorneys in Israel, Dov Eitan, who was found dead after a fall from the 15th floor of Jerusalem's Ayalon Hotel. Demjanjuk, a Ukrainian-American falsely accused of war crimes by organized Jewry, was deported to Israel for trial, where he was eventually acquitted, though Eli Rosenbaum of the U.S. Office of Special Investigations later saw fit, in 2002, to strip the 81-year-old of his American citizenship. Last issue's segment ended as Mr. Westman told us of the hatred for Demjanjuk—and any Jew who defended him—expressed by the Jewish Kahanist movement.



The Stoning of Stephen by Gustave Doré: To the Orthodox Jew, the idea of stoning to death as a punishment is not grotesque and primitive, as it is to us — in fact, it is specifically sanctioned in Jewish law for certain offenses. Jewish texts explicitly include dropping the victim from a great height as a technique of 'stoning.' Dov Eitan had induced rage among the more extreme sects of Israeli Jews by defending Demjanjuk, and his co-counsel endured an acid attack from one of the 'pious.' Was Eitan's mysterious and fatal fall a religious punishment for helping an 'enemy of Israel'?

CONSONANT WITH this view, Kahane, in a *Jewish Press* article in 1984, called for the liquidation of Jews whose views he found pernicious: "In order to save Israel the Torah says to burn out the evil from our midst. Indeed, the rabbis of the Talmud bring down the verse, 'and thou shalt love thy fellow Jew as thyself' in order to explain why we must kill the Jew who is deserving of death in a humane way. . . . [W]hen a Jew rises to challenge fundamentals of God, Jewry and Israel, that Jew must be stopped. And indeed, the punishment that we bring on the wicked Jew goes a long and necessary way to atonement for him in the world to come. . . . It takes great strength to love Jews so much that one fights for them. It takes, perhaps, even more strength to love Jews so much that one fights Jews who would destroy them."

Kahane's views reflect a long tradition within Jewry, and are still alive today. For example, political scientist John H. Sigler has noted, "Two leading settler rabbis were accused of giving religious sanction for killing Rabin because of his willingness to give up holy land in the West Bank. . . . The Council of Rabbis in the territories had sought to establish if Rabin should be declared a *moser*, or collaborator with oppressors of Jews and deserving of death under *halakah*, Jewish religious law." Similarly, Rabbi Avraham Hecht, a prominent member of New York's Syrian Jewish community, chief rabbi of the wealthy Congregation Sha'are Zion in Flatbush, New York, and president of the Rabbinical Alliance of America, a national organization of 540 Orthodox rabbis, has enthusiastically endorsed Kahane's views. The late maverick journalist Robert I. Friedman wrote an article about Hecht for *New York* magazine (Oct. 9, 1995) entitled "The Rabbi Who Sentenced Yitzhak Rabin to Death." (Hecht retracted his statement and apologized to Rabin.)

An *amicus* brief filed with the U.S. Supreme Court in 1999 in a Florida capital punishment case offers insight into some of the principles of capital punishment in traditional Jewish law. Of course, what the *New York Times* wrote about the kosher food laws (that for decades have compelled European Americans to pay an involuntary tax to organized Jewry on

virtually all of the foodstuffs and cleaning products they buy) also applies to other areas of Jewish law: "Kosher basics are clear. . . . But there are as many interpretations and loopholes as in the tax code."

The brief in question was written by Jewish attorneys in New York and Washington, D.C. on behalf of the National Jewish Commission on Law and Public Affairs (COLPA) (an Orthodox group), and the International Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists (IAJLJ) (an organization formed in Jerusalem in 1969 with former U. S. Supreme Court Justice and UN Ambassador Arthur J. Goldberg as its first head), which represents Jewish lawyers in thirty countries. The authors note that Justice Menachem Elon, former Deputy President of the Israeli Supreme Court, had reviewed the text of their brief, and authorized them to state that he concurred with its conclusions. "Justice Elon is the world's foremost modern legal authority on 'Mishpat Ivri' – legal principles derived from traditional Jewish Law."

Capital punishment is a penalty prescribed by Jewish law for the commission of specified offenses. "The Biblical text explicitly specifies two forms of execution: stoning (Exodus 17:4, 8:22 [*sic*]; Numbers 14:10) and burning (Leviticus 20:14, 21:9). The oral tradition includes two additional means—strangulation and decapitation." (Stoning is considered the most severe of the four modes of capital punishment.)

"A casual reader of the Biblical text might assume that the execution described as 'stoning' is carried out by hurling stones at the condemned individual until he dies from the force of the objects thrown." "The Mishna in tractate Sanhedrin (45a) describes execution by 'stoning.' The condemned defendant was pushed from a platform set high enough above a stone floor that his fall would probably result in instantaneous death." "The continuation of the discourse in Sanhedrin reveals that the rabbis' ultimate concern was that the mode of execution be as quick and as painless as possible, and that it cause as little disfigurement as possible."

MEIR KAHANE, YORAM SHEFTEL

WILLIAM WOLF, YISRAEL YEHEZKELI

In his book, Yoram Sheftel takes pains to imply that Dov Eitan committed suicide, and was not murdered. Thus he writes, "His body and face were smashed beyond recognition; he had been identified by the I.D. card in his wallet." However, this is not persuasive. For one thing, every such suicide would be identified first by I.D., not by facial recognition. Eitan was successful, but not famous. Moreover, fifteen floors is about the height necessary to insure death. Even so, Frank Olson, a U.S. Army scientist who is believed by many to have been the victim of an early MK-ULTRA experiment with LSD, plunged thirteen stories to his death, although he remained semi-conscious for a time after the fall. And in Miami in 2002 a woman survived a leap from the 14th floor of her apartment building, landing on a car in the lot below. She scrambled off and stood up, suffering only a broken arm.

Such examples strongly militate against any unusual degree of disfigurement in Eitan's case, unless he was deliberately disfigured. Rabbinic authorities accept a certain degree of bodily mutilation in cases of stoning.

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* indicates that Jewish capital punishment persists in the Diaspora, albeit in disguised form (called "Substitutes for 'The Four Deaths'"): "A suggestion occurs more than once in the Talmud (Sanh. 37b; Ket. 30a et seq.) that, though Israel has lost its freedom, and its judges can no longer wield the sword of justice, 'the four capital punishments have not ceased. He who deserves stoning will fall from the roof, or a wild beast will trample him down."

"Punishment is inflicted upon the offender not so much for his own sake as for the deterrence of others," the *Encyclopedia Judaica* states, "that all people should hear and be afraid. . . . The deterrent aspect of punishment in Jewish law is already the most important of all: people who hear and see a man heavily punished for his offense are supposed to be deterred from committing the offense and incurring the risk of such punishment." Prior to Eitan's death, one Israeli newspaper bore the headline, "Yoram Sheftel, How Can You Explain the Contradiction," and asked, "How will Yoram Sheftel explain serving as defense attorney in the Demjanjuk trial, of all trials, if he really is a loyal and devoted Jew?"

The plethora of "witnesses" in the trial is also consistent with the rule that "'The hands of the witnesses shall be first upon him to put him to death' (Deut. 17:7) . . . A mode of 'stoning' had therefore to be devised in which the witness would not only be assured of the first chance to lay hands on the convicted person, but also of the certainty of putting him to

death. (Sanh. 6:4)" (*Encyclopedia Judaica*)

Finally, capital punishment may not be inflicted "except on the most trustworthy and convincing testimony of at least two qualified eyewitnesses to the crime who must also depose that the culprit had been forewarned as to the criminality and the consequences of his project." (*The Jewish Encyclopedia*)

Four days before Dov Eitan's death, and six days prior to the acid attack upon Sheftel, Yisrael Yehezkeli paid a visit to the apartment of Sheftel's elderly mother. Introducing himself as Avraham Berman, he said that his address was 14 King George Street, Jerusalem (which in reality was Dov Eitan's office address, a building in which there were no residential apartments). "Berman" said he represented an organization that "fought against [Jewish -P.W.] Nazi collaborators" of the Eitan and Sheftel type. Yehezkeli told Mrs. Sheftel that if Eitan and her son did not stop representing Demjanjuk immediately, they would be killed. Yehezkeli admitted all of this to the authorities in his confession.

In the beginning, stoning to death was an expression of popular fury analogous to lynching, and only later did it become a formal, legally recognized method of execution. While there is no way to be certain that Dov Eitan was stoned to death, the signs point to it, and only our abysmal ignorance of the true nature of the strangers who move among us and rule over us blinds us to this simple fact. If he was stoned, it would seem that this form of punishment has come full circle, and is once again an expression of mob fury.

Neither Dov Eitan nor Yoram Sheftel was motivated by humanitarian love for Whites or even a desire that non-Jews be treated fairly, in his defense of John Demjanjuk. While both men charged enormous fees for their services, Sheftel appears to have been the more purely mercenary of the two, motivated primarily by fame, money, and celebrity. Eitan, a former judge, was perhaps more concerned about the possible unintended ramifications of out-of-control behavior on the Jewish people.

Both men responded to the demonstrative warmth and gratitude of the Ukrainians with aloof reserve. Sheftel is an out-and-out anti-White racist who continually defames Whites and Christians by falsely attributing hideous crimes to them, and routinely invokes the slurs *goy* and *goyim*—even when referring to the clients who were paying his enormous fees, and who had been unforgivably wronged by American and Israeli Jews.

Likewise, "Eitan expressed surprise that the Demjanjuk family was so nice, and not full of resentment towards Israel and Jews, as he had feared, because of the disgraceful treatment of Demjanjuk. 'I would expect such Ukrainian *goyim* to be much more anti-Semitic than they are.'" This is equivalent to a Frenchman saying, "I am surprised that the Dreyfus family is so nice, and not full of resentment towards Frenchmen and Europeans because of the disgraceful treatment of Dreyfus. I would expect such kikes to be much more anti-White than they are."

Another attorney who aided the Demjanjuk defense provides an unequivocal example of ingroup motivation among Jewish Demjanjuk defenders. An article in the Hebrew-language newspaper *Davar* (July 15, 1988) reported, "Mr. William Wolf expresses his deep and abiding love for Israel. He says his actions for Demjanjuk are virtually for the benefit of Israel." Wolf told the *Greater Phoenix Jewish News*, "I'm subjecting myself to all this aggravation and gaining nothing. I've become a pariah. Other than my family, my next concern is the Jewish community. I love Israel." The paper said that Wolf's views had put him at odds with the OSI, the ADL, the Jewish Federation of Greater Phoenix, and others.

Indeed, the Dov Eitans, Israel Shahaks, and Israel Shamirs are as responsible for our grim plight as are the far more typical fiends who built and ran the killing machine of Communism that robbed tens of millions of Aryans—and their now nonexistent descendants—of their lives under conditions too horrible to imagine, or the thugs and commissars of the OSI, ADL, and Wiesenthal Center, who ruthlessly advance the interests of Jewry at the expense of humanity as a whole. The "good Jew"—hated by his fellows though he may be—is every bit as vital to his group's success as is the most vicious kike. For it is he who stills the strident fanaticism of his insane compatriots at the last possible moment, he who sows confusion and doubt in the simple minds and bleeding hearts of the *goyim*, he who ultimately stays the Aryan's sword when the pitiless kill stroke is needed.

"Good Jews" are conscious and proud of the indispensable role they play in the strange saga of Jewish triumphalism. As Israel Shahak patiently explained to a headstrong Jewish critic shortly before his death: "[F]or us . . . to attack Jewish institutions and laws, even when hallowed by time, and use the sharpest language while doing so, as the Prophets and other Jewish poets preserved in the Old Testament did when criticizing Jews (usually they used much sharper language than I am using), is the most normal thing; it is something which preserves our freedom and our sense of continuity as Jews."

He is right. Shahak and others like him know what they are doing. Do you?

Therefore, mourn not for Dov Eitan. Mourn for your own lost people—befuddled and confused, hated and reviled, teetering precariously on the brink of physical and cultural annihilation . . . yet utterly bereft of prophets or poets willing to speak truth to power.

From the American Gulag: David Duke Speaks

an interview by Ben Parker

NV: *Mr. Duke, the person who recommended your* Jewish Supremacism: My Awakening on The Jewish Question *to me described it as "the new* Protocols." *I would have to agree. In which countries has it been released and what kind of response has it received?*

The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion was published at the beginning of the 20th Century in Russia. It has since been translated into most of the world's major languages and in the last one hundred years has been the most influential book on the Jewish question. Said to be the secret minutes of Jewish extremists detailing their strategy against the Gentile world, in actuality it was probably written by a very prescient Russian researcher who sought a simple way to combat the rising threat of Jewish Bolshevism.

Even though they probably were not written by Elders of Zion, the *Protocols* are fascinating in that they have quite accurately predicted many of the strategies of Jewish supremacists including their drive to dominate the press, infiltrate government, and control markets on behalf of the Jewish agenda. It also foretells Jewish utilization of egalitarianism and Bolshevism, as well as the creation of the supremacist Zionist state.

Jewish leaders complain that *Jewish Supremacism* has now superseded the *Protocols* in popularity. They say it has now exceeded 500,000 copies in print in eastern Europe and they have attempted to have the Russian Prosecutor General's Office ban it as 'anti-Semitic.' After a year's examination, the Prosecutor ruled it was not 'anti-Semitic' but simply a factual exposé of Jewish supremacism.

Now that *Jewish Supremacism* is in an updated English edition as well as going into eight additional languages, Jewish radicals are becoming hysterical and comparing it to the *Protocols* in authenticity. Actually my book is quite different. It is thoroughly documented with the very words of the Jewish supremacists themselves, and they are easily proved authentic.

NV: *Please tell our readers what they can expect from* Jewish Supremacism.

I think they will find it the most convincing book on the world's foremost problem. It is written for the individual new to the Jewish problem, but even those who have

DUKE

studied that problem for years will find much value in it. I wrote it as an interesting personal narrative, but it is well-documented and well-organized, including almost 700 footnotes. My aim was to write the perfect book to enlighten the important people in our lives.

NV: *What is the message of* Jewish Supremacism?

The book offers copious proof, mostly from Jewish sources, that since ancient times Jewish racial supremacists have sought a messianic hegemony over the entire Earth. The book demonstrates from modern, mainstream sources that many powerful Jews still hold on to this dream, a dream that is becoming a nightmare for the rest of mankind, especially for their ancient antagonists: the European peoples. It has also become a nightmare for the hapless Palestinians and other Middle Easterners who stand in the way of fulfillment of Zionist aspirations.

Supremacist Jews, while working to preserve their own genotype and create a Jewish supremacist state in Israel, are the primary architects of European genocide. They have been the driving power behind the most destructive movements of our age. They have been the authors of Communism, racial egalitarianism, Freudianism, feminism, and globalism. They lead the effort to destroy the European genotype through immigration, 'integration,' miscegenation, and low White birth rates.

My book documents their supremacy over the media and governments of many Western nations, and how they have used their control over these entities to serve the interests of supremacist Israel, while betraying the interests of Europe and America.

If Jewish supremacist power is not overthrown, our heritage and freedom will be lost for all time. I believe you will find *Jewish Supremacism* the most convincing book on the Jewish threat available.

NV: *Do you think publication of your new book was a factor in your imprisonment?*

Yes, the book was the final straw for my powerful enemies. Ever since I won elected office in 1989, unseated a sitting Republican Governor, and came within a whisper of going to the U.S. Senate, the government has been trying to find some angle to prosecute me. During those years, I underwent seven ongoing simultaneous government financial audits.

Since 1989 the Jewish ADL (Anti-Defamation League) and the Feds have continuously scrutinized me. Things heated up again in 1999, then died down until my book, *Jewish Supremacism*, burst on the scene. Finally, in November of 2002, I traveled to the Persian Gulf region and appeared on Al-Jazeera satellite TV opposing the coming war for Israel in Iraq. The U.S. State Department unsuccessfully tried to prevent my appearance, as they later admitted in *Newsweek*. Within thirty days Michael Chertoff of the Justice Department's Joint Terrorism Task Force made a decision to prosecute me.

NV: *What were you charged with?*

Unlike Canada and Europe, America has no so-called hate laws to imprison those who dare to criticize Jewish extremism. In America, the weapon of choice against dissidents is selective prosecution of unfathomable tax and financial laws. So they accused me of tax and mail fraud and threatened to prosecute me in a federal district where half the judges are Jewish, and in a city where the local (New York-owned) daily newspaper is rabidly Zionist, and where the population is over 70% African-American.

I did not misuse any funds, nor did I violate any tax laws. In fact, the government's official statement of the tax charge against me contradicts the supposed

mail fraud violation which attests that I was enriching myself at the expense of my supporters.

In the tax charge, the government maintains that my gross income for myself and the Duke Report before expenses was $60,000. With over $40,000 in expenses for printing, mailing and postage of the Duke Report, plus paying for the services of two staff members, I was left with a personal income of less than $20,000 from the movement!

That's why the government puts no monetary figure on my alleged "mail fraud." Essentially the government is saying that I had no right to receive any compensation from my own newsletter! As everyone is aware, I have worked very hard for our cause. I have done thousands of radio, TV, and newspaper interviews, and given hundreds of speeches around the world. I am proud to say that every dollar given by my contributors has gone a very long way!

It is true that large and crazy numbers against me were suggested by the government at the time of my case, but in the end the government's own official figure for my total income was $60,000 *gross* which works out to a net personal income of less than $20,000.

NV: *Your innocence is obvious. The government's own allegations show that. But some people don't realize the extent of the corruption in the Federal Court System. Could you explain to our readers why you accepted the plea rather than go to trial on the charges?*

Because when the unlimited power of the Federal government prosecutes anyone, they have all the advantages no matter how innocent you are. Here are some of the factors leading to my decision.

First, in any financial matter the Feds can add an almost unlimited number of charges that can result in decades of imprisonment.

Second, I would have been tried in New Orleans, one of the most heavily-Black federal districts in America. In addition, the *Batson v. Kentucky* rule prevents a White defendant from using pre-emptory challenges to potential Black jurors. Such factors would lead to a predominantly Black jury.

Third, I suspect that a Black jury would find a man eternally labeled as a "former KKK leader" guilty of the Lincoln assassination if asked. I was certainly not going to risk the balance of my life and all my future work to the whim of such a jury.

NV: *Many people have suggested that you could have stayed in eastern Europe rather than face a dangerous and difficult time in a mostly non-White American prison. Why did you return?*

I probably could have stayed there and had a comfortable life teaching at a university and writing books and articles. I have lectured at a number of eastern European universities, been awarded academic honors, and even had my works published by them. But if I became a fugitive and stayed there I could never return to my home in America, and could not even travel in Western Europe and many other nations.

By taking the plea, I knew that after a year or so I would be free to return to my worldwide efforts to awaken our people—and most importantly be able to help liberate my own American homeland.

Perhaps I took the harder, more perilous course by coming home, but I believe I acted in the best way to serve our cause. The movement for our heritage and freedom is the overriding purpose of my life. I will be completely free next May.

NV: *Do you have access to radio, television, or newspapers?*

I can listen to some radio, but have little access to the more intelligent television programming. I can and do read a few major magazines and newspapers, including NATIONAL VANGUARD. I have a quickly-completed prison job, and then I am totally immersed in reading and researching subjects related to our cause, studying European languages, and writing sections of my next book as well as articles on current events.

NV: *Are you in some kind of protective solitary?*

No. I am in a 90% non-White prison. Since I have been here, there have been two major riots, a murder, and one inmate was doused with boiling oil and soap (microwave 'napalm') while he slept. So it is rather interesting here. I am respected by all racially-conscious White persons. Even the non-Whites, for the most part, have respect for me. I am staying strong.

NV: *Have you encountered any political persecution in connection with your imprisonment?*

From day one of my reporting to prison they have gone out of their way to make my stay as unsafe and restrictive as possible. Before accepting the plea I was promised placement in a camp. Camps are much safer than prisons, and usually allow one to be closer to family members and friends. Camp is normal for short sentences for non-violent offenses—especially for a person with no criminal record. I was also told I would serve the last six months in a halfway house, where I could return to my work in the day time.

Instead, they sent me to a prison that is fifteen hours by car from my home and daughters. A prison that is 80 per cent. non-European, and well-known for riots and mayhem. Since I have been here I have yet to meet a prisoner with as short a sentence as mine. Those with short sentences are in camp. The Board of Prisons, under the Justice Department, has also now denied my halfway house. Even murderers are routinely granted halfway house time. I could go on and on. Don't let anyone tell you the U.S. government doesn't persecute people for their political beliefs. And opposing Jewish supremacism is the worst of crimes in America and Europe.

NV: *When you were a State Representative in Louisiana, were you able to push through any beneficial legislation? Do you think there is any future in working within the system?*

I was the only member of the House of Representatives who openly stood up for the rights and heritage of European-Americans. The establishment hated me. The Governor detested me. The media lambasted me. Yet, I was still able to get a bill successfully through the House that outlawed 'affirmative action.' It was the only bill in opposition to affirmative action that ever passed a legislative body. Working in elections will be a necessary part of a Euro-American revolution. The political process is also a vital arena for the dissemination of our ideas. Is there potential? You bet. In the state of Louisiana I received more votes than Patrick Buchanan did in the entire country! Over 60 per cent. of European-Americans voted for me in the two statewide elections. That percentage would win in many voting districts across America. If I could do that with my 'background,' so could many others.

NV: *One thing we often hear is that things have to get worse before they can get better. Do you agree, and how much worse might it get?*

Of course, but if things become *much* worse they may never get better. We have the ruins of every previous Indo-European civilization before us, illustrating that obvious fact. In the past, though, there was always the wellspring of our genes to replenish the Earth—like an eternal spring of life-giving waters. In Europe and America, genocidally low birth rates, immigration, and miscegenation threaten to destroy that wellspring forever. So, forget the dictum, 'it has to get worse before it can get better,' and teach our people that they have to act *now*.

We stand at the edge of eternal annihilation! Our message must teach the utmost urgency, and demand nothing short of heroic deeds, sacrifice, and courage—and not just from leaders, but from all who know the truth.

And I am not talking about mindless rage and violence that is counterproductive. I am talking about legal, hard work—and courage in bringing our message into almost every institution of America. Give me one man who will stand on a table top and tell the truth to a thousand who want to play some sort of secret advocate, or get some selfish satisfaction from mindless violence that will only lead to the destruction of our movement. It is easy to get emotional and do a reckless act. It is hard to do the endless day to day work to spread our message and create the movement!

NV: *In Tom Chittum's book,* Civil War Two, *many predictions were made and some of them have come to pass. One prediction was that America will break up and divide along ethnic lines. What do you think?*

America may ethnically divide, but such will be an epilogue rather than a prologue.

NV: *Do you think campaign finance reform would make a difference, and do you think it will ever happen?*

Campaign reform, *if* it includes the fair allotment of media time, would be a great boon to those opposing the establishment. That's why it will not be allowed. I urge everyone who is aware of the Jewish supremacist genocide being waged against our people to focus on that one main issue. We will not win on any other issue until the Jewish supremacists are deposed.

NV: *What languages do you speak, and how did you manage the language barrier on your last tour of the Persian Gulf area?*

I speak a bit of Russian and German, and of course, I am a native English speaker; and English has become the world's dominant language. In Bahrain, immediately prior to the Iraq War, my speeches, given in English, packed a huge hotel ballroom for two successive evenings and were greeted with exuberant cheering that more resembled a sporting match than a political lecture.

NV: *Did you ever meet Dr. Pierce, and what is your opinion of his life's work?*

Yes, I met with Dr. Pierce dozens of times over the last thirty years. We dined countless times and spoke hundreds of times on the telephone. I counted him as a friend and collaborated with him in researching the Jewish question. I feel a deep loss at his untimely passing. Hopefully, all of us will honor him in the most meaningful way—with our own dedication to the cause.

NV: *After a lifetime of persecution for speaking up for the White race, how do you keep your spirits up?*

By allowing myself, in spite of our people's failings, to love them passionately. If one loves enough one can endure any difficulty, any suffering. I have taken time in my life to appreciate the art, music, literature, scientific achievements, and even the athletic abilities of our people. Also, an appreciation of Nature and a commitment to physical fitness does a lot to keep your spirits high and help you maintain a balance in life.

NV: *Tell us about your next book.*

My new book is tentatively titled *For Love of My People*, and it is quite different from *My Awakening* and *Jewish Supremacism* in that it is specifically geared to giving birth to passion and courage among our people, and in showing a path that can rescue us from the brink of annihilation and lead us toward liberation, renewal, and our destiny in the stars.

NV: *During the 1960s you worked for the U.S. State Department in Laos. What other countries have you visited?*

I instructed anti-Communist Laotian Army officers during the Vietnam War, and I have been to Japan, India, Pakistan, Central America, the Caribbean, South America, southern Africa, the Middle East, Asia, and every nation in Europe. To become acquainted with some of my adventures and observations around the world you will need to read *My Awakening*.

NV: *Have you had any problems with the non-European-Americans in prison?*

You can figure that one out, but once they found me stout and strong, many of them have come to grudgingly respect me.

NV: *Are you kin to the Dukes of North Carolina tobacco fame?*

No, my forebears were all farmers, of English and Scottish blood, until the 20th Century, when some of them distinguished themselves in science and invention. My father's mother was a chemistry professor long before 'women's liberation,' and my mother's father invented a new more efficient kind of stove, and went on to be the Henry Ford of that business.

NV: *How is your family holding up to your incarceration?*

One of the most bitter pills I have had to swallow in prison was the recent death of my 92-year-old father. He was a retired U.S. Army full Colonel and former State Department official. Even though I had less than six months left, I was not permitted to attend his funeral.

My two daughters are very supportive, and active in the movement. They have made the twenty-six hour drive to see me on a number of occasions. Every one of my many close friends around the world has stood by me through this whole hardship, and I am forever humbled by them and grateful to them. I am also very thankful to numerous friends in the National Alliance—especially to Kevin Strom and Erich Gliebe, who I have known and respected for a long time.

NV: *What are your plans for the future?*

Finish my time in the American Gulag and return to the fight. Although conditions here have been uncomfortable and dangerous, our enemies have only made me stronger in many ways. The main reason I took the plea was so that I could continue to awaken and rally the people of America and the world. I am sure that this politically-motivated persecution is meant to scar my reputation and resolve. But it has only deepened my resolve. More importantly, despite the Jewish campaign of slander and persecution against me, never before in my life of activism have I received more support from our brethren in America and around the world. I am humbled and thankful.

NV: *Do you welcome correspondence, and if so may we publish your address?*

I'd love to hear from your readers, and they are welcome to write to me at Box 188, Mandeville LA 70471. My staff will forward my mail to me.

NV: *Anyone who has read from your huge body of work knows that you love nature and have a keen appreciation for the outdoors. We understand that your favorite physical activity is to hike and climb in the mountains, especially the Alps. From where you are now, can you see the sun rise or set?*

Duke: I can see the sun rise and set through the razor wire. They have imprisoned my body, but not my heart, my mind and soul. Every day, even in this bleak place, my spirit spends a little time among the peaks, far away from here in the mountains I love. I also keep in my mind beautiful images of our European-American folk. Dostoyevsky wrote that "Only beauty can save us." He was right, for the beauty of our people, both in physical form and spirit, is the fuel of our passion and courage. It is worth any sacrifice.

This interview was conducted by Mr. Parker in December 2003. David Duke's release is scheduled for May 29th, 2004.

News from the Homelands

by Mark Cotterill

Scotland:

THE 'Age of consent' rules in Scotland are now in tatters: "The news that the law lords at the Court of Session in Edinburgh have scrapped the offence of shameless indecency comes as no surprise," stated a Parent Truth spokesman. "This offence has been used to protect boys between the ages of 16 and 14 from being abused and sodomised by older men... For the last five years we have argued that the 'gay' lobby wants to see an equal playing field across Europe — if you can sodomise a 12-year-old Dutch boy in Amsterdam without fear of prosecution why not have the equal right in Scotland? ...it is the Scottish Executive who are using the notorious and sinister militant homosexual lobby group 'Stonewall' to advise them on sex education in schools. It is the Scottish Executive who are corrupting our schoolchildren with perverted education on same-sex relationships."

England:

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY has lost two of its council seats since my last column. This brings their current total to 16. They lost a by-election (special election) in their stronghold of Burnley to the Liberal Democrats, and they lost a second councilor soon after when Robin Evans quit the party over financial allegations. Evans now sits as an Independent on Blackburn Council. The BNP has contested about a dozen more by-elections, but the best they have got is second place. Some observers of the British nationalist scene think the BNP's election bubble has now burst, but only time will tell. Founding member of the party and BNP chairman for 17 years, John Tyndall — who was expelled at the end of July — has been readmitted to the party following an out-of-court settlement with the current BNP leadership. He is rumored to be organizing a leadership challenge to Nick Griffin next fall.

Germany:

SIXTY-YEAR-OLD SALOMON KORN was elected vice president of Germany's top Jewish organization, replacing his disgraced predecessor. The Central Council of Jews in Germany unanimously elected Korn, who said he was "moved" by the vote. He announced that the Central Council's aims would not change with his election. He is best known for having been an avid supporter of the idea of a central 'Holocaust memorial' in Germany. While Korn regards as important the accord giving Judaism the same formal status as Christian churches in Germany, which was signed at the beginning of the year between the Jewish Council and the German government, he sees it merely as a further step towards German-Jewish "normality." Korn warned against 'anti-Semitism' in Germany, in an interview in the *Die Welt* newspaper. Around one-fifth of Germans were 'anti-Semitic,' which corresponded roughly with the European average, he said. The vote for a new representative came about after the former vice president, Michel Friedman, resigned from his public positions in July amid charges of cocaine use and a scandal involving Ukrainian prostitutes. Friedman was ordered to pay a fine of $20,000 after being convicted on ten charges of possession of cocaine for personal use.

Salomon Korn, replaces disgraced Friedman

Every fourth (White) German is now over sixty years old and the population continues to get older. A report by the Federal Statistics Office has revealed that at the end of 2002, 20.1 million of Germany's 82.5 million people, or 24.4 percent, were above the age of sixty. Ten years ago, one fifth of the population (20.3 percent) had reached that age. The trend shows no signs of slowing. In 2030, according to demographers, the over-sixty group will comprise some 27.9 million people or 34.4 percent of the total population. Twenty years later, in 2050, 27.6 million people, or 36.7 percent, will be over sixty. Capitalists, 'liberals,' and Marxists see in this primarily an *economic* crisis, with fewer workers available to finance the health care and retirement of older Germans, necessitating — so they say — importation of younger non-White workers. NATIONAL VANGUARD sees it as a *biological* problem: an aging population becomes less and less able to replace itself, and hence becomes weaker and less able to defend its White living space.

Latvia/Estonia

BERL LAZAR, the Chief Rabbi of Russia, and other senior Russian Jewish figures condemned the decision of the Estonian authorities to build a monument to the thousands of Estonians who fought alongside Germany against the Red Army during WWII. "We cannot consider such a decision anything else but an attempt to rehabilitate and glorify Nazism," the Rabbi declared. "Moreover, it is not the first attempt made by the Estonian and Latvian authorities." Yevgeny Satanovsky (what a last name!), the President of the Russian Jewish Congress, said in an interview with *RIA Novosti* that his organization had taken "several steps earlier this year to prevent the construction of a monument to soldiers in SS uniform with rifles

Berl Lazar

pointing at the East, bearing an inscription: 'To all Estonian soldiers who died during the Second Liberation War for Fatherland and free Europe. 1940-1945.'" Although the protests were successful, he added that "the attempt to erect a monument to Nazi criminals is just a small part of the xenophobic policies conducted by Latvia and Estonia." The Latvian and Estonian authorities have been trying for several years to rehabilitate men from both countries who fought in the ranks of the Waffen SS. Satanovsky said: "Each country tends to glorify its heroes. However, if for some people SS soldiers are liberators, for the majority they are executioners, and there is no excuse for executioners. …the governments of Latvia and Estonia must decide today whether they want to live in Europe 2003 or in Europe 1939." A no-brainer, *n'est-ce pas*?

Switzerland:

THE U.N. CHILDREN'S FUND in Geneva, Switzerland, announced recently that it has appointed an "HIV-positive puppet" who stars in the South African version of *Sesame Street* as a "global champion for children." Kami, a furry yellow puppet who appears regularly on *Takalani Sesame*, represents a five-year-old girl who has been orphaned by AIDS. UNICEF said "Kami will help promote messages of acceptance and an end to stigma for HIV/AIDS sufferers across the world in a way that is appropriate to the age group." Kami made her first appearance in November when she helped launch a new UNICEF report, "Africa's Orphaned Generation" which details the impact of HIV/AIDS on children in Africa. HIV/AIDS is rampant in sub-Saharan Africa, fueled by Black promiscuity and perversion, superstitions such as the belief that intercourse with a virgin will cure the disease, and a possible higher susceptibility to the virus among Blacks. In 2002, 800,000 children under the age of 15 became HIV-positive, most of them in Africa. By 2010, the U.N. estimates that there will be 20 million children in sub-Saharan Africa who have lost at least one parent to the disease. And you thought you had it bad in America!



AIDS puppet 'Kami'



INDICTED AS WAR CRIMINALS: Bush and Sharon

Belgium:

A BELGIAN COURT has thrown out a case against U.S. President George W. Bush for war crimes in Iraq, drawing to a close a saga that had strained relations between Belgium and the neocon regime now ruling Washington. A clerk says the appeals court in Brussels also dismissed cases against Israeli prime minister Ariel Sharon and Israeli general Amos Yaron. Belgium's federal prosecutor had asked the court to rule on the admissibility of these cases after recent law changes allowing the country's courts universal jurisdiction to try war criminals. Under pressure from Jewish groups and the U.S. government, the Belgian parliament sharply curtailed the law in July. The lawsuit filed against Bush accused him of war crimes in the invasion of Iraq earlier this year.

Sweden:

THREE YEARS AGO a 14-year-old patriotic Swedish boy was killed by an "anti-racist" gang in Salem, a town just outside of Stockholm. The day after the murder, Swedish nationalists set the date for a memorial — and in only six days they had gathered 1,000 participants who walked in silence and lightened the dark night with burning torches to honor the life of the boy, Daniel Wretstrom. This was also done the following two years. The year after the killing, they had 1,200 people — and the year after that they attracted 1,600. This year they are hoping for 2,000. If you go to www.salemfonden.info you can read about the murder there. This year's event is expected to attract the support of nationalists from all over Europe. The marchers will walk to the spot were the young comrade was killed, light candles, lay wreaths and flowers, and listen to speeches from friends, family, and pro-White leaders.

Norway:

CHURCHES IN the north of Norway have guaranteed homosexuals that they will be "welcomed without prejudice." The parishes of Svolvaer and Vagan promise that no negative words can be uttered towards 'gay' attendees in their churches, a promise that is bound to displease mentally healthy Norwegians. In Svolvaer Church, parish council member Yngve Henriksen displays the rainbow flag used as homosexuality's banner. The flag is meant to show perverts that they are welcome, and can worship without fear of "finding themselves the subject of a fiery sermon," the newspaper *Lofotposten* reports. Acting rector Gisle Melling pointed out that the decision will affect all who speak in the churches: "Everyone must respect the council's decision. This flag clearly states that no attacks on homosexuals will be accepted here. People who have other viewpoints must simply remain silent. That applies to priests as well," Melling said. A member of the Progress Party – Norway's main nationalist party – said "It's no wonder people are turning away from the churches. The feminization of Christianity over the last 50-odd years created the pedophile scandals of today; and now more churches are seeking to welcome homosexuals and other degenerates of every stripe! When will the liberals ever learn? The scandals will increase, and attendance will plummet even further. They wonder why more Scandinavians are turning to New Age mumbo-jumbo or even militant Islam: It's because Christianity has had its guts ripped out by humanists, freemasons, queers, and the Jews, though maybe not in that order!"

Denmark:

RADIO OASEN (Oasis) is owned by Danmarks Nationalsocialistiske Bevaegelse (DNSB) – Denmark's leading National Socialist (NS) party, which is led by Jonni Hansen. The station, which broadcasts the Horst Wessel song and quotes from *Mein Kampf* and

The Danish minister of culture Brian Mikkelson, right, showing the kind of 'Danish culture' he does support.

the *Turner Diaries,* receives $12,000 of public money a year under state rules that allow any legal broadcaster to claim financial support. The subsidy, which has been paid for the past six years, has been renewed for 2004, but this has upset many of Denmark's liberal elite — and the Jewish lobby. Brian Mikkelsen, Denmark's Minister of Culture, has promised that he will again explore the possibility of changing the law, but added that he could not guarantee success. A similar promise at the beginning of 2003 came to nothing. NS parties and the use of NS symbols and propaganda are not illegal in Denmark, as they are in much of western Europe.

Ireland:

THE RECENT DECISION by the Irish supreme court that the parents of Irish-born children are not automatically entitled to residency in Ireland has resulted in a big drop in the number of asylum applications being made. There were over 11,600 applications for asylum during the first eight months of 2002. During the same time period in 2003 only 6,051 were received. *Applications from Nigeria account for one third of all applications.* Since there is no direct travel route between Ireland and Nigeria, it is clear that the asylum applicants are landing in other European countries first (mostly Britain and France) where they are supposed to make their application. The possibility of residency being granted in Ireland because an applicant's child was born in Ireland had caused major pressure being placed the country's maternity hospitals.

Italy:

THE GERMAN government has protested to its Italian counterpart over an Italian firm selling wine bottles carrying National Socialist slogans and pictures of Adolf Hitler. The protest followed reports on the German television station ARD that a company in the northern Udine region of Italy was producing 30,000 bottles of wine per year with portraits of Hitler and other leading NS officials on the labels. The company's Web site shows various versions of the bottles, many featuring Hitler giving the Roman salute and using the phrase *ein Volk, ein Reich, ein Fuehrer*, a popular Third Reich slogan. Other labels were emblazoned with images of Mussolini — and even Stalin. Displaying NS symbols and slogans is illegal in Germany but not in Italy. Brigitte Zypries, the German Justice Minister, has warned that the company will be prosecuted if the bottles are sold in Germany.

Greece:

SOME SERVILE Greek politicians have introduced a new bill to crack down on 'racism' and 'anti-Semitism' following charges that the country's media and government have fostered a climate that engenders bad feelings toward Jews. In other words: Some journalists and politicians are prepared to speak out against Israeli terror tactics and the like — and the Zionists do not like it. Greeks found 'guilty' of discriminating against religious or ethnic groups would face up to a year in prison under the legislation. "The law will help reduce anti-Semitic incidents in Greece," said Moissis Costantini, president of the Central Jewish Board of Greece. "We are in touch with the government and, before the legislation is voted into law, we will suggest any amendments if needed," he said. Israel is not popular in Greece, and it and the Jewish lobby often find themselves the butt of editorial criticism. Recently, well-known Greek composer Mikis Theodorakis referred to Israel as "the root of all evil." The Simon Wiesenthal Center — a radical Jewish pro-censorship group — recently issued a travel advisory urging Jews to avoid visiting Greece during the 2004 Olympics because of the alleged 'anti-Semitic' climate. "Failing a

'Forbidden' European history used as a gimmick to sell wine.



THEODORAKIS: Israel is 'the root of all evil.'

dramatic change in attitude and policy, the current atmosphere of hate and vilification can only escalate and could also poison the environment leading up to the 2004 Olympic Games," the center's associate dean, Rabbi Abraham Cooper, said in the statement. Greece is the only European country that does not have a day of "Holocaust remembrance," but, eager to please the billionaire Jewish elite, some in the Greek government are proposing just such a holiday and promising a "crackdown on anti-Semitism." A "crackdown" ...now there's a word to conjure with!

France:

RADICAL WHITE nationalists say they have many reasons for mistrusting Jean-Marie Le Pen, the leader of the French National Front (FN), but electoral prediction is not generally among them. When he hazards a guess, the veteran FN leader is rarely wrong. And the upcoming contest, he said recently, is the most winnable election of all his long career. Even his opponents agree. "I see things very clearly," he said, formally beginning his campaign for the presidency of the Province Alpes and Côte d'Azur (Paca) region. "There will be three major contenders in the second round," he said. "Neither the right nor the left will with-

JEAN-MARIE LE PEN

draw — as they did to wreck our chances last year — and they certainly won't merge their lists. I am confident that here, finally, we will emerge as the winners of a three-way battle."

In the four southern French town halls it has controlled, Orange, Vitrolles, Marignane and Toulon, the FN has not hesitated to implement its policies of national preference for French citizens in jobs, housing and social benefits, and to promote traditional French culture. Subsidies have been withdrawn from 'rap' and ethnic musicians, and from festivals which showed homosexual movies; cultural centers which held non-French events have been closed; schools stopped from offering special meals to Jewish and Muslim children; municipal libraries banned from subscribing to left-wing publications. In Vitrolles, the FN town council briefly offered a £500 'baby subsidy' to couples who added to their family — providing that both parents were of White European origin — but the central government soon put a stop to this.

ADRIAN NASTASE

Romania:

THE ROMANIAN government now appears to have backed down on a statement it made in the summer which suggested there "was no Holocaust" within the country's borders during World War II. A second statement now says administrations between 1940 and 1945 were "guilty of serious war crimes" and used "methods of discrimination and extermination" against the local Jewish population. This change followed a protest by Israel and a warning that relations between the two countries had been "strained." Correspondents say the remarks also "outraged" Romania's Jews. The new statement also recognized the government's "responsibility to Holocaust victims," which may mean that 'reparations' — cash payments to Jews just for being Jews — may soon be on the way. "By taking over part of the responsibility for the Holocaust victims on behalf of the Romanian state from half a century ago, the Romanian Government ... is stressing its wish to continue cooperation with international institutions that are studying the Holocaust problem," the statement said. It added that Prime Minister Adrian Nastase and his cabinet had "consistently condemned the persecution and killing of Jews and introduced legislation outlawing racist and xenophobic organizations." Will they also now apologize to the Romanian nationalists who were also put in German concentration camps on the orders of Antonescu? Or the nationalists kept in Communist hell-hole prisons like Aiud for decades?

Netherlands:

THE POLITICAL PARTY created by a city councilor expelled from Pim Fortuyn's Leefbaar Rotterdam (LR) for flirting with racial nationalists would win six seats in the national Parliament if a general election was held now, according to a new poll.

Despite the fact that 75 percent of people questioned said they had not heard of Michiel Smit, 8 percent said they would consider voting for his Nieuw Rechts, or New Right, party, the news agency Novum reports. One percent of respondents said they would definitely vote for the party and *61 percent said they agreed with the party's call for Third World guest workers to be repatriated to their home countries.* Smit has hosted a working visit by Filip de Winter, the leader of the Vlaams Blok. Smit's party says it is willing to work with like-minded groups, but denies it is closely associated with the Nieuwe Nationale Partij (NNP), another Party which opposes multiculturalism. The NNP denies in turn that it is a 'fascist' or 'racist' party, but admits some of its members used to belong to the Central Democrat (CD) party, which was once Holland's largest racial nationalist party although it no longer officially exists now. Smit is alleged to have made several 'racist' remarks in relation to immigrants while a councilor for the LR party. The comment most often ascribed to him by his opponents is: "There is one thing worse than a Nigger: a White Nigger!" This refers to his alleged distaste at the way many native Dutch teens in Rotterdam have adopted the language, dress, and culture of Black immigrants in the city. White teens who behave in this way are sometimes described as "whiggers," often on Web sites run by Black groups. Smit claims that he is being unfairly portrayed by the media and 'anti-fascist' groups in the Netherlands. Responding to the latest poll, Smit said: "It is clear that we will be represented in Parliament after the next general election."

Serbia:

AN ADVISOR to the Serb member of the Bosnia-Herzegovina Presidency, Slobodan Radulj, said that the Mujaheddin's demand to the local Serbs in Bocinj near Maglaj, in the Bosnia-Herzegovina Federation, that they had to accept Islam as their religion if they wanted to live there in peace and safety, "represented an evident proof that this Ozren village had been turned into a camp for an ideological training of Islamic terrorists.... The ideological training is aimed at those who have accepted sacrificing their lives for Islam," Radulj told the Republika Srpska media, and added that a number of Islamic so-called humanitarian organizations which operated in Bosnia-Herzegovina offered assistance in training which was mostly carried out at corresponding Islamic centers.

News from the Homelands is edited by long-time activist, writer, and publisher of Britain's *Heritage and Destiny* magazine — heritageanddestiny.com — Mark Cotterill. We will cover news from Europe of interest to race realists and free thinkers, which many American readers may have missed. We welcome your participation: if you have news from Europe that you think would be suitable for this column, please send it to: *Heritage and Destiny,* P.O. Box 812, Ashburn, VA 20146, USA.

The Drug War is a Vietnam

A LOT OF PEOPLE compare the Drug War to Prohibition. Actually it is more like Vietnam. America could have won the war in Vietnam, but to do that, we would have had to fight it. We would have had to fight a real war in Vietnam to win there.

The question is, would it have been worth it to fight a real war in Vietnam to win there? And that is the question we never asked. We sent our soldiers to die in Vietnam, but we never decided to make it a real war. You can't get more immoral than that.

What we did in Vietnam was to send our men to fight with their hands tied behind their backs. Meanwhile, we here at home lived a very prosperous life. There were lots of ways for people with influence or money to avoid serving in Vietnam. A real war in Vietnam would have put the draft dodgers in jail. A real war in Vietnam would have put people who marched in parades carrying enemy flags in jail. A real war in Vietnam would have risked a nuclear confrontation. That would have endangered those of us at home.

The only alternative to a real war in Vietnam was surrender. Several people heard Lyndon Johnson state his attitude about that. He said, "I will not be the first American president to lose a war." So he spent tens of thousands of American lives so that the war would not be lost until Nixon was in office.

We have exactly the same situation in the Drug War. Illegal drugs could be stopped if we clamped down on all our civic freedoms. Big time drug lords, people whose names the police know very well, would be arrested and put away for good, or they would be killed. We would trample on the sovereignty of any country that harbored drug lords. We would get them, period.

There would be no more fashionable drug use among rich Americans. They would be hunted down and punished as felons. As in any war, your house would be open to search. Rights would be suspended. Is winning the Drug War worth all that?

The alternative is surrender. Like President Johnson, every politician refuses to declare that we have simply lost the War on Drugs and call it off. And no politician is going to openly demand that we make a total war of it. So we don't fight and we don't give it up. The Drug War is Vietnam.

Our Whole National Policy is a Vietnam Policy

WE HAVE ANOTHER Vietnam on the question of controlling our borders. The only place on earth where a Third World country shares a border with a First World country is on the Rio Grande.



People who violate the law are either criminals or they are not. People who break into Federal facilities repeatedly are not gently led back out. They are convicted and they go to jail. People who violate our immigration laws are either criminals or we shouldn't have immigration laws. "But, Bob, under the Constitution, illegal immigrants have rights, too." 'Fraid not. The Constitution specifically says it applies only to "Ourselves and Our Posterity." This was not chance wording. The Founding Fathers had just fought a war for our independence and they said our business was ourselves and foreigners were none of our business. That is what independence means.

If you do not regard the immigration law as a real law, then you should stop enforcing it completely. We have over two million people in our prisons because we cannot decide whether to treat criminals as criminals or be human about the whole thing. This situation overlaps with our Drug War Vietnam. If drug dealers are criminals, then we should go after them all out, wherever they are. By the same token, every court room every day has people before the judge whose occupation is crime. "He keeps getting into trouble," they say. No one mentions what that "trouble" costs one innocent victim after another. "But, Bob, criminals have rights, too." I'm afraid not. The term for someone who lives outside the law in Anglo-Saxon law was "outlaw." He is outside the law.

But we do not have the courage to decide. In each case, the moral question is, "Is it worth total war or do we surrender?" We are fighting an endless number of Vietnams because we do not have the moral courage to make the decision to fight or to surrender.

A Quick Note to 'Anti-Racist' Conservatives

RESPECTABLE conservatives tell us that, as good White Gentile Americans, they do not care about White Gentiles.

Then they complain that 'Hispanics' born in America, whether their parents are Cuban, Mexican, or El Salvadoran, consistently prefer the interests of illegal Hispanic immigrants over those of their 'fellow Americans.' 'Anti-racist' conservatives complain that Moslems worry about Arab interests rather than those of their 'fellow Americans.' They complain that when it comes to a confrontation between the White police and Black thugs who terrorize them, the Black public always favors the Black thugs.

So let me briefly explain reality to these conservative spokesmen:

1) Hispanics favor Hispanics; 2) Blacks favor Blacks; 3) Moslems favor Moslems; 4) You are an idiot.

If Hitler Hated Jews, Then All Our National Spokesmen Hate Whites

A GERMAN Nazi in the 1930s could look you straight in the eye and tell you he didn't hate Jews. Many a Nazi could honestly say that some of his best friends had been Jews. Nazis did not identify their emotion toward Jews as 'hate.' They were just acting for the common good.

You say this is crazy? Then let us look at *why* you say this is crazy. You say Nazis hated Jews because Nazis said that no place on Earth was a good place unless it was *Judenfrei* (free of Jews).

Today you are a racist unless you want *every* White country to import Asians and Africans and mix with them. You are a racist unless you demand that *only* White countries import other races and mix with them: "Africa for the Africans, Asia for the Asians."

Unless you are a racist, you demand all that immigration and intermarriage because it will "solve the race problem." But this "race problem" will not be "solved" in Africa or Asia. The "race problem" will be solved when *all* White countries and *only* White countries are brown.

If you're not a racist, you want a "White free" world. That's not hate, that's Idealism. The hippies' line was, "The White race is the cancer of history." But absolutely nobody will call that hate. The hippies were Young Idealists. Harvard Professor Noel Ignatiev put it best: "The goal of abolishing the white race is on its face so desirable that some may find it hard to believe that it could incur any opposition other than from committed white supremacists."

That's not hate, that is what we pay teachers to teach. Every respectable conservative agrees with Ignatiev.

Another reason you insist that Nazis hated Jews is because Nazis never attributed anything good to Jews, and they blamed all evil things on the Jews.

When America landed on the Moon, the speech had to say that it was "a great step for Mankind." All the food, medical miracles, and everything else that White people produce is officially the product of "mankind." If you say that Whites did anything good, you are a racist.

But the Nazis did give the Jews credit for doing things, bad things. And only a racist today does not give Whites credit for doing every bad thing that ever happened. Whites had slavery. Whites invaded other continents. You know the drill. If you don't know the drill, then you are a racist.

In Nazi Germany, more Jews were turned in by other Jews than by any other group of people. In the world today, Whites are required to lead the charge against Whites.

We're all Nazis now. The only thing that has changed is who the Jew is.



William Gayley Simpson spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: *that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man.*

In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future.

For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send $42.95 postpaid in the U.S., $44.95 elsewhere. Order item 407. New 2003 edition with author's revisions, 1070 pp. softcover.

National Vanguard Books
Box 330 • Hillsboro • WV 24946 USA
order online at natvan.com/catalog

Postscripts

by Revilo P. Oliver

Latin, Discipline, and the Decline of Education

THROWING LATIN STUDENTS into Caesar as soon as they finished the introductory grammar was a grave mistake, but like so many of the blunders that our species seems doomed to make endlessly, it was the result of a compromise or, to draw an analogy from physics, the product of vectors that partly counteracted one another and moved the object in a direction that was not parallel to any one vector.

During the greater part of the last century, there was in the back of men's minds the famous dictum of the Duke of Wellington: "It does not matter much what boys study in school, so long as they do not like it." There was a touch of cynicism in the statement, but it is certainly true that boys do need discipline, and especially intellectual discipline, and should be compelled to learn a subject that does not at the time interest them. I know, because throughout my adult life I have been handicapped by having been permitted to grow up without the slightest discipline: I had less than Tom Sawyer and only slightly more than Huckleberry Finn, and that merely to produce a modicum of manners.

Even so, the old tradition, dating from the Renaissance, was to start boys at an early age on simple Latin, usually Aesop in Valla's translation, and short passages of short sentences, the *Sententiae* from the mimes of Publius Syrus (almost all of them single lines) and the "Disticha Catonis." Then they read the plays of Terence, from which they passed in sequence to Vergil, Cicero's letters, Sallust, Caesar, Horace, Ovid, and Cicero's orations. All of this sequence was accompanied by continuous writing of Latin and, until late in the Eighteenth Century, the speaking of Latin in all matters connected with the school. For fluency in speaking, they read the colloquies of Corderus and Erasmus. This, with some minor variations, was the practice of the English public schools. Private tuition produced all sorts of variations with bright children. The famous Hermann's mother is said to have started him on Latin when he was four, teaching him by the "direct method" until he began Greek under a tutor at ten. There are many other examples of precocity.

When the pressure was on to reduce the time given to Latin eventually to an hour a day four or five days a week for three years, much of the content of the old training was jettisoned as men used to throw overboard cargo from a demasted ship. Speaking Latin was not "practical" and anyway boys did not always speak it correctly, even by the standards of colloquial style. The only two Americans whom I have known who were really fluent in Latin were the late E. K. Rand of Harvard and one of his pupils, an insurance broker, Goodwin Beach ("Bonamicus Actensis"); there were two other men who spoke correctly but less fluently. Speaking, of course, requires constant practice, for which very few individuals have an opportunity.

Writing Latin was also not "practical" and exercise in it was gradually eliminated, partly for want of time. It is still possible, however, to find here and there in the world a few persons with whom one can carry on a correspondence in Latin, and one can always exercise oneself to the best of one's ability, both by translating accurately from another language (as in the volumes published by Oxford from time to time even now) and by direct composition. For correspondence, it is necessary to discuss contemporary matters and so to find Latin expressions for them. The best authority for such equivalents was the work of the late Cardinal Bacci. For modern terms, it is necessary to use some vernacular, although much of that can be eliminated in a dictionary of the "Duden" type, such as a man named Johannes Mirus did compile for Latin and published at Barcelona in an edition that went out

of print very quickly; I think he and Bacci would have collaborated on a new edition, had Bacci lived longer (when he died, I was really astonished to discover how old he was, and I doubt that he was poisoned by the group that has taken over the Papacy, as some persons in the Curia believed).

No one really knows a language that he cannot write accurately and with some sense of style, and the decline of practice in writing Latin was thus directly disadvantageous and secondarily a great obstacle, since the exercise of composition was the means of establishing clearly the distinction between the various styles of Latin: colloquial, epistolary, formally expository, oratorical, and poetic. With the first two eliminated, there was no longer a need for Terence (the object of much pressure anyway by persons who knew that the stage is very wicked and that, anyway, boys should not think about amatory intrigues), and Caesar was perhaps the best model of the Attic style (i.e., straightforward, unadorned exposition) and a good preparation for the rhetorical perfection of Cicero's oratorical style (the orations being especially prized for their political implications). And with so little time available, there was a temptation to eliminate the preparatory reading and hustle the poor pupil from his grammar directly into Caesar—which was not really disastrous, so long as the pupil had no escape from his task.

What has ruined not only instruction in the Classics but the nation is the great instrument of subversion and barbarism called the public schools, which soon fell under the dominance of the gangsters of the "National Education Association," who used the folly of the public to destroy our civilization. On the lowest level, it is true, are the teachers, mostly stupid and ignorant women who believe what they were taught in their courses in "Education." (I do not exaggerate. Years ago, Professor Bestor and I, observing that the "A" and "B" students in history and the Classics, did not go on to teach in secondary schools, called on one of the measly crew of "deans" in the "College of Education," and the stupid little shyster blandly admitted to us that they wanted only the "C" and "C-" students in the various subjects because such students believed what they were told and did not ask questions. And I could add much corroborative evidence.) On the next level, you have the "administrators," mostly shysters always looking for something they can prostitute for a fast buck and for opportunities to suck more blood out of the befuddled taxpayers by the techniques that they openly teach and euphemistically call "The engineering of consent." And at the top, you have the greater scoundrels who know what they are doing, many of whom have no motive greater than their hatred of intellectual superiority and of civilization itself. Some, of course, know well for whom they are working and by whom they are indirectly paid.

So there you have the outline of a major chapter in the decline and fall of the United States, a nation for which I see no hope whatsoever, although, when in an optimistic mood, I entertain some speculations that our race, although now obviously driven by a subconscious death-wish (as the late Whittaker Chambers believed, although I did not believe him at the time), may somehow survive the Hell that is before us. Even in my most euphoric moods, however, I cannot convince myself that the *boobus Americanus* is really a viable species of mammalian life, so there is more of hope than of reason in the straitly limited optimism I permit myself.

— *April, 1980*

The B'nai Birch

ROBERT WELCH approached me in 1958 when I was writing for *National Review*, and my contacts with him convinced me of his good faith. I helped found the John Birch Society, and, incidentally, I am one of the probably few people who have a copy of the prospectus for it, which was sent out to the persons who met in Indianapolis when it was founded, where, by the way, I was the only one of the founders who did not toss a cheque across the table. Welch and I conferred afterwards on the strategy of the Society, which was never put into effect. I am still inclined to think that Welch was sincere at the time, largely because I cannot imagine an adequate motive for an intricate (and expensive!) scheme to cozen me.

The new Society (yet unnamed) was to operate with chapters (as had, e.g., the Paul Reveres) with paid coordinators, who were not to be the salesmen that are now given that title, but were actually to coordinate the efforts of the various chapters of an organization which, while not exactly secret, was to remain umbratile and attract no public notice. Its public activity would be limited to distribution of *American Opinion*. The chapters would be small, limited to persons of real influence in each community, who would organize various *ad hoc* local organizations (e.g., one on schools, one for taxpayers on taxation, etc.) which would ostensibly be independent of each other, with, at most, only a slight overlapping of sponsors and with no individual the active head of more than one. The local Birch chapter would never get its name in the papers and would pass as one of the scores of quasi-social groups that cluster around the coun-

try club in every community. In *American Opinion* the Society would take no express position on the Jews as such, using "Communists," "Bolsheviks," and sometimes "international vermin" to identify all our domestic and foreign enemies as part of a grandiose conspiracy but leaving all members free, of course, to reach their own conclusions on the basis of the books we recommended and such direction as might be given by articles in the magazine and discreet comments by the coordinators, who would be periodically briefed on the Society's immediate objectives. The Society would also maintain a strict neutrality on religious questions, but attack demonstrably subversive clergymen as individuals; Welch and I were atheists (he sometimes preferred to call himself a pantheist, which, of course, amounts to the same thing for practical purposes), but some of the founders were or claimed to be Christians, although at the foundation Welch very honestly stated that he was not and that he did not believe in a personal god of any kind, affirming a belief that the tendency of biological evolution was upward and that our race could eventually develop individuals of a higher type than any now existing. The Christians present accepted this view, since the Society was to be exclusively political.

Things began to go wrong from the very first. Perhaps the crucial item was that Welch had expected to start with a million dollars, and had only $200,000 at his disposal. That (he said) made it necessary to defer much of the planned strategy.

The first crisis came when a Jew on the staff of a newspaper in Chicago got hold of the prospectus, in which Welch had identified the Assenhower who was then president as a Communist. It is important, by the way, to note that the man actually did have a copy of that prospectus in his possession, for he reproduced an extract from it photographically in his newspaper, and that even with the comparatively crude photocopy machines of that time, he could have made any number of copies from it for distribution to other members of the press. Little notice was taken of his squawks until a member of the National Council, a former director of the Federal Reserve, yelled to the press that the prospectus was a "private letter" by Welch (true, insofar as it was sent out only by registered mail with return receipt demanded, and under a pledge that the document would be shown to no one without Welch's permission) and that it "had nothing to do with the Birch Society" (a patent lie, since the last part of the document specifically outlined plans for such a Society and plans for the dissemination of *American Opinion*), adding that members of the Council thought it Welch's private opinion and did not agree with it (no one can tell what men think privately, but most members of the Council were founders, had been present at Indianapolis, and expressed no disagreement there). Welch and I conferred at length about that crisis; he felt that he could not disavow the Council member's public statement, and at first hoped that the incident would "blow over."

Instead, there began a great scandal in the press, as you may remember, and even in the Society, of which many members had never heard of the document, although I had strongly urged that no one be admitted as a member before he had read it. The "cover" of the Society was, of course, "blown" by the incident, and the strategy then adopted by Welch (and me) was to maintain an evasive attitude until the scandal about the awful "secret black book" in the press had reached such a crescendo that almost everyone knew about that sensational "secret," and then flood the nation with copies of it, as could be done in little more than a weekend (as was ascertained) by reserving in advance the facilities of one of the largest printing establishments in New England.

The psychological moment passed: One cannot but think of Friar Bacon's brazen head, which finally said, "time has been," and burst into fragments. The Society was effectively ruined, for members (now publicly identified) who had never seen the "black book" were in an intolerably embarrassing position, and those who had, were under instructions not to disclose its contents or its connection with the Society. A speaker for the Society (as many painful memories remind me) had to resort to an evasion about a "private letter" and place himself in an essentially false position, especially if he was unwilling to repeat the lie that the "black book" had nothing to do with the foundation of the Birch Society. At long, long last, long after even the aftermath of the psychological moment had irretrievably passed, the document, heavily censored (and foolishly censored, since, as I have said, a copy of the original and doubtless many photocopies of it were in the hands of the press), was eventually published as *The Politician*, at first in a format that was virtually a forgery, since it purported to be a photo-offset reproduction of the original, with added footnotes assembled by Dr. Francis X. Gannon with great difficulty, since he was not allowed to consult Welch's sources, which were in the possession of a man whom Welch mendaciously claimed never to have seen.

I remained in the Society and continued to shorten my life by working for it, partly because I still believed the endless and innumerable explanations that Welch constantly gave me (everything that went wrong was caused by "infiltrators," who soon became very numerous, or by stupid or egotistical members of the Council whose judgement or integrity Welch could not trust, but whom he could not expel without precipitating another scandal and perhaps a fission), and partly because I then believed, as I still believe, that the Birch Society represented the last chance to pre-

serve an American society, and I was unwilling to desert a sinking ship, let alone one that might still remain afloat and make it to a port. I am even guilty of an error of which I have since often repented: I preserved the Birch business by negotiating with a man who had been outrageously defamed by a "coordinator" (i.e., a paid, offical representative of the Society) in public meetings (as attested by a sheaf of affidavits in my possession)—a man who could have collected handsomely in any court in the land (which would have set off a rash of other suits), and whom I persuaded not to bring suit "for the good of the cause" and to be content with the firing and disavowal of the mischief-making "infiltrator."

It would be unbearably tedious to rehearse the sequence of incidents that eventually made it impossible for me not to realize that Welch was consistently lying to me and that the organization, through the salesmen, was being operated in ways and for purposes that were kept secret from me. I intimated to Welch in May 1965 that I would call for a showdown, and in November of that year I finally put the issue in a form that admitted of no further tergiversation or postponement. Welch flew to Urbana to persuade me to be "patient," and female readers may appreciate a remark he made on his way to the airport, "Oh, I can handle Revilo, all right, but I am afraid of Grace's tongue." My wife cherishes that compliment.

The conference in November needled my curiosity so that I agreed to remain a collaborator in the Society, while I, privately and with the indispensable assistance of certain reliable friends, undertook a delicate investigation that eventually enabled me to name and identify the four Jews who, as a committee, secretly supervised all of Welch's operations. I resigned late in July 1966—my *Conspiracy or Degeneracy?* is an annotated but verbatim transcription of the speech I gave in Boston in that month—and Welch flew to Urbana to bribe me to withdraw the resignation or to sign a substitute which he could publish, which he had thoughtfully written for me together with copy for the *John Birch Society Bulletin* with which he would announce his surprise and regret at receiving it. Since we spoke with a tape recorder conspicuously operating on the table between us, I had to repress my wish to bargain to ascertain how high he was prepared to go. Several officers and members of the Society resigned when I did (although there was no prearrangement and I had concerted nothing with them), and one of them, according to one of his friends, accepted $50,000 in bills of small denomination under the table to withhold his resignation for a few months; I am sometimes so vain as to think I would have been worth half that sum.

After my resignation, I refused to denounce the Birch hoax because (a) I would never have been believed by the women and others who adored the Messiah in Belmont, and (b) insofar as I was believed, the result would have been (b') to put myself under pressure to form a rival Society (one justice of a state supreme court suggested a "John Birch Alumni Association"!), which would have been a ludicrous failure, since it could not conceivably have commanded the requisite financing, or (b") to complete the demoralization of the American "right wing. "[1]

The Birch business is still operating, but it is now rather obviously just a collection agency, and I hear that its salesmen can no longer compensate for the rapid turnover in membership. Its programme, anyway, is now like agitation to urge the captain of the *Titanic* to avoid icebergs.

— *February, 1980*

1. Welch, so far as I know, never dared to denounce me in print, although he did so in a verbose memorandum that he carried about the country and exhibited to important contributors on an "eyes-only" basis and to be read in his presence and "in strict confidence." A very important member of the staff in Belmont left a little later, and Welch began to cast aspersions on him in the *John Birch Society Bulletin*, but received a curt letter that read: "Welch: I do not in the least object to your commenting on my affairs with women, but if you impugn my financial ability, I will destroy you. You know that I can do it." That was all, except the signature, and the man was never mentioned again in the *Bulletin*. Rightly perpended, that one incident is more significant than everything I have written in this essay.


I joined because I could SEE
what was happening to my people. I saw what the non-White gangs did to my kid brother at school. I'll be damned if I'll let that happen to my baby sister—or my own kids when they come along. I could see that there was a better way, and I joined the people who are working to make that brighter future come in my lifetime. Love your race. Join the National Alliance. We're going to win.
NATIONAL ALLIANCE
natall.com Box 90 Hillsboro WV 24946 USA

Anthrax continued from page 5

States Army. In October 1976 he married Caroline Eschtruth, the daughter of his mentor.

It would be only a few months after their marriage when Cuban-led mercenaries based in Angola attacked Dr. Eschtruth's mission. The mercenaries captured Dr. Eschtruth and later executed him, a tragedy which left a strong impression on Hatfill. He and his wife Caroline were divorced in May 1978. Shortly thereafter, Hatfill returned to Africa and continued his medical education.

Hatfill attended the Godfrey Huggins Medical School in White-ruled Rhodesia, graduating in 1984. While in Rhodesia, Hatfill claims to have served with the Selous Scouts. The Selous Scouts were Rhodesia's crack counterinsurgency troops used against the terrorists who wanted to overthrow the White government. In 1980, as a result of international pressure, Rhodesia was turned over to the Black Mugabe government, which still rules there today. The country would later have its name changed to Zimbabwe. In 1984, Hatfill moved to the Republic of South Africa to further his medical studies and research.

ACCUSED OF BIOTERRORISM: Dr. Steven J. Hatfill

While in South Africa, Hatfill was in contact with Aquila, the paramilitary wing of the *Afrikaner Weerstandsbeweging* (AWB) or Afrikaner Resistance Movement. The AWB was the largest White resistance group active in preventing the Black takeover of South Africa. Some have labeled the AWB a neo-Nazi organization. One has only to look at their political banner to come to this conclusion: It is very similar to National Socialist Germany's swastika flag but, instead of a swastika, uses a triskelion—an ancient symbol which resembles three "sevens" arranged in a spinning design.

A colleague of Hatfill's at Stellenbosch University's radiobiology laboratory saw a newspaper photo of AWB leader Eugene Terre'Blanche surrounded by Dr. Hatfill and members of the Aquila Brigade. This colleague—in an apparent effort to expose Hatfill—placed the photo on the lab's notice board. When confronted, Hatfill made no secret of his AWB ties. The colleague claimed, "This photo was put up on the lab notice board—and led to Hatfill boasting that he was the weapons trainer of the Western Cape Branch of Aquila." Since that time, the photo has not been seen by the public.

Several amazing coincidences have contributed to the intense interest in Hatfill shown by investigators. They also help to explain why some, like Barbara Rosenberg, are so convinced Dr. Hatfill was responsible for the anthrax attacks.

One coincidence concerning Dr. Hatfill and his times in Africa is the "Greendale School" reference that appeared as the return address on the anthrax letters sent to Senators Daschle and Leahy. There was an upscale suburb of Salisbury, Rhodesia, known as Greendale; the neighborhood school was informally known as Greendale School. The school was actually named after White Rhodesian patriot Courtney Selous, after whom the Selous Scouts were named. A mentioned previously, Hatfill claims to have served with this group.

Another coincidence involves Dr. Hatfill's commissioning William Patrick, an expert on weaponized anthrax, to write a report on the proper procedures to be used if an anthrax letter arrived in the mail. Hatfill's employer at the time, Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), saw a need for emergency responders to know exactly what to do if this were ever to occur.

In the SAIC report, written two years before the anthrax mailings, Patrick describes how a few grams of anthrax spores could be sent in envelopes and details the proper emergency procedures that should be followed if this were to take place. The report was provided to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Atlanta. They, at the time, were working on a similar report and provided the information they assembled to police and fire departments via the Internet. Dr. Hatfill's attorney Victor Glasberg denies the Patrick report was any type of "blueprint" for an anthrax mailing. Glasberg said, "There is zero data in the report. It shows you what you do after it happens."

Perhaps the most suspicious coincidence was the mysterious anthrax hoax letter mailed from London, England, in November 2001, and addressed to Senator Tom Daschle. Within the envelope were a powdery substance and a threatening note. At the time of the mailing, Hatfill was in England training to be a United Nations bio-weapons inspector for a future mission in Iraq. As previously mentioned, several anthrax hoax letters were mailed to media organizations. This hoax letter was the first and only one sent to a Senator. Some within the FBI wonder if the London letter may have been an attempt to frame Dr. Hatfill.

One outfit that has spent a great deal of time and energy in blaming Dr. Hatfill for the anthrax attacks is the Jewish Defense Organization (JDO). This group has labeled Hatfill a "Nazi" because of his ties to the Afrikaner Resistance Movement. In *The Weekly Standard* article, "The Hunting of Steven J. Hatfill," David Tell claims the JDO is responsible for spreading many of the damning accusations that now surround Dr. Hatfill. The JDO is an offshoot of the ter-

rorist Jewish Defense League, founded by the late Rabbi Meir Kahane. Today, the JDO spends most of its time feeding reporters and commentators hateful and misleading information concerning Dr. Hatfill. Tell describes the JDO as the "central clearinghouse of Hatfill demonology." He claims mainstream reporters will use the JDO material on Hatfill but will never acknowledge their source. It is interesting that a small extremist group like the Jewish Defense Organization appears to have been the first to "document" the background and personal history of Dr. Steven Hatfill.

Since the anthrax attacks, Dr. Hatfill's life has been radically changed. The Justice Department had him fired from a $150,000 a year teaching job at Louisiana State University, and, to date, he has not found other employment. Though a spokesman Hatfill said, "My life has been completely and utterly destroyed by John Ashcroft and the FBI. I don't have a job and 20 years of training has gone down the drain... My professional reputation is in tatters. All I have left is my savings and that will be exhausted soon because of legal bills." The evidence is mounting that Dr. Hatfill is an innocent man and had nothing to do with the anthrax attacks. Reporters and investigators will realize this when those responsible for the anthrax mailings are identified.

There are two other bio-defense scientists who have received some attention in the anthrax investigation. But, unlike Hatfill, these scientists have rarely been mentioned in the press. Some believe one of these scientists may be responsible for the anthrax attacks.

On October 3, 2001, Dr. Ayaad Assaad, an Arab-American scientist and a former researcher at USAMRIID Fort Detrick, Maryland, was called into the Washington, DC offices of the FBI and was confronted with an anonymous letter that accused him of being "a potential biological terrorist." The author of the letter claimed to be a former colleague of Dr. Assaad, and accused him of having a vendetta against the government. The meeting between Assaad and the FBI took place two days before it was known that Robert Stevens, the first victim of the anthrax attacks, had died. The letter was postmarked September 21 and was addressed to "Town of Quantico Police" in Virginia. Quantico is 25 miles south of Washington, DC and is home to both Marine Corps and FBI facilities.

A number of questions have arisen surrounding this letter. The timing of the letter is suspicious, given that it was written before there was public knowledge concerning the anthrax attacks. Dr. Assaad believes the letter was devised to implicate him in the coming attacks and to set him up as the 'fall guy.' He believes the person who sent the letter may also be responsible for the anthrax attacks. The FBI determined Dr. Assaad was not involved with terrorism and that the accusatory letter must have been a hoax.

But Dr. Assaad had reason to be concerned. A decade earlier, he, along with two other Arab-American scientists, all of whom were working at USAMRIID, were targeted and harassed by another group of scientists working there. The group that was harassing the Arab-Americans called themselves the "Camel Club." One of the "Camel Club" members was Dr. Philip M. Zack, Lieutenant Colonel in the United States Army. The apparent objective of the "Camel Club" was to drive the Arab-American scientists out of the bio-defense facility.

Shortly after the Persian Gulf War in 1991, Dr. Assaad found an eight-page poem in his mailbox authored by the "club." The poem contained lewd and obscene remarks and was written in an attempt to harass and mock the Egyptian-born scientist. The authors of the poem referred to a rubber camel supposedly outfitted with various sexual appendages. A portion of the poem read: "In [Assaad's] honor we created this beast; it represents life lower than yeast." The letter states that each week the camel will be given "to who did the least." Dr. Assaad reported these incidents to Fort Detrick's commander, who at the time did little or nothing to stop the harassment. Dr. Assaad later sued the Army, claiming "discrimination." Was this a case of "racism"—or of something going on at the lab which the harassers might not want an Arab scientist to see?

The Hartford Courant has been the only paper to have covered this story in detail. They reported that "Zack left Fort Detrick in December 1991, after a controversy over allegations of unprofessional behavior...." The paper later reported Dr. Zack was observed entering the lab after hours on January 23, 1992. A surveillance camera recorded Dr. Marian Rippy, one of the "Camel Club" members, letting Dr. Zack inside the building.

Lab technicians noticed a late-night intruder was tampering with their equipment. A 1992 inquiry found someone was conducting unauthorized research involving anthrax during the evening hours. An audit of the lab showed 27 sets of specimens—including anthrax spores—were unaccounted for or missing. One former commander at the facility said he did not believe any of the missing specimens were ever found.

Dr. Zack is said to be Jewish, and for some this makes him the leading anthrax suspect, particularly among those in Internet discussion groups. They see the harassment of Dr. Assaad, the late night research on anthrax, and the accusatory letter all pointing in Dr. Zack's direction.

Ed Lake, the leading amateur investigator of the anthrax attacks, has done some important research concerning the letter sent to the authorities naming Dr. Assaad as a potential terrorist. Lake found that, after September 11, a great deal of speculation ensued concerning the possibility of another terrorist attack. The consensus was that the next attack would likely be biological in nature, and anthrax could be the weapon of choice. Lake did an analysis of how many times the word "anthrax" appeared in Internet discussion groups from September 8, 2001 to October 7, 2001. Not surprisingly, discussions concerning anthrax and a possible biological attack increased dramatically after September 11. Lake concluded, given the circumstances, it would not be unusual for someone to warn authorities concerning potential terrorist activity.

This is the most likely explanation for the anonymous letter. A former colleague of Dr. Assaad sent the letter out of genuine concern in preventing a biological attack. This person may or may not have been a participant in the "Camel Club" shenanigans but could have been influenced by them. It is unlikely Dr. Zack sent the accusatory letter, since it would have brought immediate attention upon himself and his suspicious activities a decade earlier. Also, it is equally difficult to believe Dr. Zack was responsible for the anthrax mailings.

So what other suspects remain? Our best-known suspect or "person of interest" is Dr. Steven J. Hatfill. But after an extensive investigation by the FBI, no physical evidence connects Hatfill with the mailings. Other possible suspects can be dismissed as easily as they are mentioned. Iraq and al-Qaeda are not responsible, even though some within the Bush administration and the news media would like to blame them. There have been suggestions the CIA could have sent the anthrax letters, if a hidden bio-weapons program existed within the agency. But what purpose would such an attack serve? It is hard to imagine a motive for the CIA to commit such an act. Were neo-Nazis, anti-abortionists, or right-wing

militias the culprits? There are plenty of off-the-wall theories about possible suspects—even one involving a pagan cult in the Midwest—but nothing has panned out. There is, however, another possibility that needs to be considered.

"Who had the means, the motive, and the opportunity to have brought about these attacks?" is a basic question that needs to be asked. An extensive and well-developed biological warfare program exists within Israel today. A motive for the anthrax attacks would be to blame Arab terrorists or a 'rogue nation' for this atrocity and to help launch the United States into war against Israel's enemies. Lastly, Israel had prior knowledge of the planned attacks on September 11.

Israel's bio-weapons programs dates back to the earliest formation of the Zionist state. Dr. Avner Cohen documents Israel's program in his paper, "Israel and Chemical/Biological Weapons: History, Deterrence, and Arms Control." Cohen begins by describing how Zionists during the 1948 war poisoned the wells and water supplies of Arab villagers. According to Israeli military historian Dr. Uri Milstein, the typhoid epidemic that spread throughout the costal town of Acre in May 1948 was the result of Zionist Jews contaminating the Arabs' water supply. In Gaza the Jews failed in a similar attempt. The Egyptian Army found four Jews disguised as Arabs near the water wells. The four terrorists were caught with a canteen filled with dysentery and typhoid bacteria. All four were tried, convicted, and hanged within a three-month period. Dr. Cohen questions if the two incidents were isolated or part of a larger program of bio-warfare during the 1948 war.

Israel's bio-weapons facilities are located at the Israel Institute of Biological Research (IIBR) in Ness Ziona (also Nes Ziona, Nes Tona) a few miles southeast of Tel Aviv. In 1952 the IIBR consisted of a single building hidden in an orange grove. Today, the IIBR has grown into a massive 14-acre compound with several hundred employees surrounded by high walls and electronic sensors. What goes on behind those high walls is something Israel would prefer to be kept secret.

Dutch journalist Karel Knip has researched the IIBR and came up with some interesting findings. Knip began by going through medical literature he found on the Internet. Specifically, he focused on the papers of 140 scientists affiliated with IIBR over the last five decades. With the help of experts on chemical and biological weapons, Knip developed an overview of the various programs that exist at IIBR. Knip found IIBR research began in the 1950s involving plague, typhus, and rabies. Dr. Avner Cohen discusses Knip's findings in his paper and states, "... a significant number of studies at IIBR focused on anti-livestock agents, following the path of other national BW [bio-weapons] programs at the time." 'Anti-livestock agents' is Cohen's cryptic reference to Israel's anthrax programs.

A motive for Israel in launching the anthrax attacks would be to bring America into war against Iraq and to remove that country as a potential threat to the Jewish state. When Iraq invaded Kuwait in 1990, the United States Senate was thrown into a heated debate as to whether or not this country should go to war. Senator Tom Daschle took the lead in being against American involvement. In order for Israel to achieve her war objective, this time Senator Daschle would have to be removed—or turned toward Israel's position. Either way, Israel would win.

Eliminating or 'turning' her senatorial adversaries was a gamble that Israel couldn't lose.

Likewise, Senator Patrick Leahy ran afoul of the Israelis when he introduced his Leahy Amendment to the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act. The Leahy Amendment, also called the Leahy Law, prohibits American arms sales to foreign security or military units that systematically violate human rights. The Israeli military routinely tortures Palestinian prisoners, assassinates Arab political figures, and fires American-made rockets and missiles into civilian crowds and apartment buildings. If an American administration ever decided to enforce the Leahy Law, Israel would find herself under an arms embargo. Eliminating or 'turning' her Senatorial adversaries—a gamble she couldn't lose—would be powerful motives for Israel to target Senators Daschle and Leahy for political assassination by anthrax.

For Israel to have initiated the anthrax attacks, prior knowledge of the al-Qaeda plot was essential. The Mossad, Israel's external intelligence service, has made it their mission to track Arab terrorists around the world. Indirect evidence of Mossad's surveillance of the al-Qaeda hijackers prior to September 11 can be found in the Drug Enforcement Agency report, "Suspicious Activities Involving Israeli Art Students at DEA Facilities," and in an investigative report from Fox News.

In March 2001, an alert was issued by the National Counterintelligence Executive, a branch of the CIA, warning federal employees to be aware of "suspicious visitors to federal facilities." There had been reports of Middle Eastern persons showing up at government buildings—many of them DEA offices—claiming to be Israeli art students. At first it was thought the Israeli art students might be Arab terrorists planning an attack. However, it later turned out the art students were indeed Israelis.

The Israelis would appear unannounced at various government offices or at the homes of federal officials. They would falsely identify themselves as art students from the University of Jerusalem or Bazala Academy in Israel and attempt to sell their artwork on canvas. Astute government employees soon discovered the Israelis were more interested in obtaining information than in selling artwork. The students, in the course of their conversations, would ask for business cards and inquire about the officials' work activities and those of their associates. At times, the Israelis were found breaching government entrances through back doors and parking garages. Others appeared at government offices that were hidden or undisclosed to the local community. Some were found with diagrams of government buildings showing entrances and offices of various employees—indicating prior surveillance. Others were found with photographs of government agents. One Israeli had a computer printout with the heading "DEA groups."

The DEA, with the help of the FBI, began to compile a report on the Israeli art students. The report, prepared in June 2001, listed over one hundred Israelis by name along with their personal identifying information. After the Israelis were arrested, the

government, for sensitive political reasons, was reluctant to prosecute any of them for espionage, so they let them go.

One DEA agent, in an apparent attempt to prevent a government cover-up, had the report posted on the Internet in March 2002. The report provides information obtained from the Israelis during their interrogations. In a general assessment of the Israelis, the report states, "Most admit to having served in the Israeli Military. This is not surprising given the mandatory military service require [*sic*] in Israel, however, a majority of those questioned has [*sic*] stated they served in military intelligence, electronic signal intercept, or explosive ordnance units."

Within the various agencies of the U.S. government, there was a debate regarding the entire Israeli art student phenomenon. Most were convinced this was an intelligence operation, but at the time its purpose was unclear. The best clue in understanding the mystery was their main target: the Drug Enforcement Agency. Although the Israelis penetrated other federal facilities, such as military bases, the spies seemed focused on the DEA.

During this period, the DEA was investigating the activities of Israeli organized crime. Israeli mobsters, along with the Russian Mafia, control the distribution of the drug Ecstasy in America. It should be noted there is no real difference between these two criminal organizations. It has been known for a long time that the Russian Mafia is made up of Russian Jews from the former Soviet Union. Questions still remain: Why were Israeli spies posing as art students interested in the activities of the DEA? What connection does the Mossad have with the Russian Mafia? The conclusion that best fits the known facts is that Israeli intelligence launched an operation against the DEA to protect their new intelligence asset, the Russian Mafia, from prosecution.

After September 11, the activities of Israeli art students were seen in a new light. The FBI began to investigate the trail left by the Arab hijackers and found many of them had residences in Florida. Interestingly, one third of the art student spies were also based in Florida. It was discovered that some of the Israeli art students had addresses in the same locations as the hijackers. One commentator said that, at times, *they were just yards apart*.

Carl Cameron with Fox News was the first major reporter to break this story, in a four-part series that aired in December 2001. In the series, Cameron gives several examples of Israeli espionage activities in America. In his first report, Cameron focuses on the Israeli surveillance of the Arab hijackers. Cameron reported, "There is no indication that the Israelis were involved in the 9/11 attacks, but investigators suspect that the Israelis may have gathered intelligence about the attacks in advance, and not shared it."

Cameron based his reporting on information he obtained from investigators in the FBI, DEA, and the INS. In his report, one investigator acknowledged there were "tie-ins" between the Israelis and the Arab hijackers. However, it appears Carl Cameron was unable to present on Fox News all that he learned concerning these "tie-ins."

Alan Simpson, in a short piece that he wrote for his own online publication, *Spies Magazine*, picked up the story where Fox News left off. In an article entitled, "Art, Espionage and Cover Ups," Simpson appears to have obtained the unaired portions of Carl Cameron's report concerning the Israelis' monitoring of the hijackers. Simpson cites the following as coming from "the original investigative report [Carl Cameron's] breaking the story." The following are direct quotes from Alan Simpson's article.

"According to the FBI list, the Arab terrorist and suspect cells lived in the same neighborhoods as the Israeli cells in Irving, Texas and Hollywood and Miami, Florida from Dec. 2000 to April 2001. In the case of Irving, the Israeli cell used a rental mailbox in a shopping center just one block away from an Arab suspect's apartment. In Hollywood, the terrorists, including lead hijacker Mohammad Atta, the Egyptian who piloted American Airlines Flight 11 into the North Tower of the World Trade Center, used a rental mailbox drop two blocks from an apartment rented by an Israeli 'art student' team leader."

"It is not the only case where the Israelis were found to be in the same location as the Saudi cells. According to the DEA Report, another Israeli team operating out of Hollywood, Florida, led by team leader Hanan Serfaty lived at 4220 Sheridan St., #303, Hollywood, Florida 33021 (Emerald Greens Apartments) while the Saudi hijackers Khalid Al Midhar, Abdulaziz al Omari, Walid Al Shehri, and UAE national Marwan al Shehri, operated from a mail drop at Mailbox Rentals, 3389 Sheridan St. #256, Hollywood, Florida 33021-3608. Another Serfaty residence at 701 S. 21st Ave., Hollywood was located near the homes of Atta and Al Shehri, including a residence on Jackson Street, just a few blocks away, and the Bimini Motel Apartments, Apartment 8, at 1600 North Ocean Drive. On September 7, just days before their terrorist attack, Atta and Al Shehri spent several hours at Shuckums Oyster Bar and Grill at 1814 Harrison St., just blocks away form Serfaty's 21st Ave. residence. A Miami-based Israeli unit, led by Legum Yochai, operated from 13753 SW 90th Ave., Miami while hijacker Al Shehri lived nearby at Horizons Apartments, 8025 SW 107th Ave."

Cameron's reporting appears in part to be based upon a secret FBI document that shows the "tie-ins" between the Israeli spies and the Arab hijackers. The fact that a secret FBI document exists is also evident in the *Die Zeit* article, "Next Door to Mohammed Atta." *Die Zeit*, a German paper, based their article on information obtained from the French intelligence agency. The FBI apparently shared their report on Mossad activity with the French. The following portions of the article "Next Door to Mohammed Atta,' closely parallels Carl Cameron's unaired report.

"Not until after the attacks of September 11 did the consequences of the spy ring become clear. Apparently the agents were not interested in military or industrial facilities [*sic*], but were shadowing a number of suspects, who were later involved in the terrorist attacks against the U.S. According to a report of the French intelligence agency that *Die Zeit* examined, 'according to the FBI, Arab terrorists and suspected terror cells lived in Phoenix, Arizona, as well as in Miami and Hollywood, Florida from December 2000 to April 2001 in direct proximity to the Israeli spy cells.'

"According to the report, the Mossad agents were interested in the leader of the terrorists, Mohammed Atta, and his key accomplice, Marwan al-Shehi. Both lived in Hamburg before they settled in Hollywood, Florida in order to plan the attacks. A Mossad team was also operating in the same town. The leader, Hanan Serfati, had rented several dwellings. 'One of Serfati's apartments was located on the corner of 701st St. and 21st Ave. [*sic*] in Hollywood, right near the apartment of Atta and al-Shehi,' French intelligence reported later. Everything indicates that the terrorists were constantly observed by the Israelis. The chief Israeli agent was staying right near the post office where the terrorists had a mailbox."

Le Monde, the French paper of record, in the article, "An Enigma: Vast Israeli Spy Network Dismantled in the U.S.," also appears to have had access to the secret FBI document or at least the French intelligence

agency's version of it. The French paper provided a new detail and reported that six of the Israeli spies had cellular telephones *bought by an Israeli ex-vice-consul.* Another source reported some of the phones had a special walkie-talkie feature which made them difficult to intercept. This would indicate the spying was not some rogue operation—but was instead directed by Israel.

The evidence is overwhelming that Israel, in the first half of 2001, launched an extensive intelligence-gathering operation within the United States. One part of their assignment was to learn as much as possible concerning the DEA investigations of the Russian Mafia and Israeli organized crime. Their other task was to monitor the al-Qaeda terrorists.

Through electronic surveillance of the hijackers, the Israelis would have been able to learn the time and place for the September 11 attack. If the spies were monitoring the hijackers' Internet activities, they would have known the date of the flights and airlines involved since the hijacker's tickets were bought online.

A newspaper article that appeared in *Haaretz*, an Israeli paper, further indicates prior knowledge of the coming attack. *Haaretz* reported two Israeli employees of Odigo—an instant messaging company with offices near the World Trade Center and also in Israel—received a warning two hours before the attack. This, roughly, would have been when the hijackers were seen boarding their planes. The Mossad, in order to minimize Jewish losses around the World Trade Center area, could have easily issued the warning via the instant message service. The *Washington Post* reported, "The Odigo service includes a feature called People Finder that allows users to seek out and contact others based on certain interests or demographics." Those whose interests relate to Israel or Jewish topics could have received the message—even if the persons were unknown to the sender. On that day, the Odigo warning may have saved many Jewish lives.

Another mystery surrounding September 11 concerns insider trading of "put" options days before the attacks. Put options, a variation on short selling of a stock, are investments that constitute a bet that a stock will decline in the near future. A few Israelis would have been in a position to make a great deal of money before the al-Qaeda attacks. An unknown number of insider traders made millions of dollars by buying puts during the three business days prior to the World Trade Center attack. United and American airline stocks had highly significant numbers of put options placed upon them, while other airline stocks were not affected in a major way. Only United and American planes were hijacked. A number of insurance and investment companies also had unusual put options placed on their shares. The names of the investors who profited from the tragedy of September 11 have never been released.

Obviously Israel's overarching objective in the anthrax mailings was to terrorize America. Once the people realized they were again under attack, panic and shock spread throughout the land. But Israel had a special reason for this type of bio-terrorism. For Israel the anthrax attacks had an important strategic purpose, namely shifting the new war away from al-Qaeda in Afghanistan and toward Saddam Hussein's Iraq.

Shortly thereafter, the cry went out concerning Iraq's alleged weapons of mass destruction and her supposed ties to terrorists. With the help of Israel's neo-conservative allies within the Office of the Vice President, the Pentagon, think tanks (such as the American Enterprise Institute), and some media outlets (like the *Wall Street Journal*), the campaign for invading Iraq was launched. The campaign, for the most part, was based upon lies. Some of these lies came directly from Israel.

Within the office of Israel's Prime Minister Ariel Sharon, a unit was established to prepare "intelligence" reports in English for a new group within the Pentagon known as the Office of Special Plans (OSP). In addition to the Israeli input, some of the OSP's questionable intelligence came from Iraqi exiles. The Office of Special Plans bypassed the CIA and took the cooked intelligence directly to the Oval Office. The CIA, for the most part, tried to be professional in their analysis, but in the end the neocons and the Israelis got their war against Iraq.

There was no massive intelligence failure that led America to go to war in Iraq. Instead, it was a massive intelligence fraud. Some suspect the forged Niger documents, concerning the allegation that Iraq tried to obtain "yellow cake" uranium oxide from that African country, may have originated with the Israelis. Also, the unconfirmed report on the alleged meeting of Mohammed Atta and an Iraqi agent in Prague was most likely disinformation on the part of Israel. In one bizarre aspect of the story, the *London Times* reported the Iraqi agent was seen giving Atta a vile of anthrax. The *Times* reported this as if it actually occurred—*and said Israeli security sources observed the transfer.*

Israel's objective was to bring America into a new war against Iraq. When the al-Qaeda plot was discovered, Israel let the terrorists proceed, thereby insuring America would go to war. The anthrax attack that followed was the bio-terror event needed to focus America's attention upon Iraq and its alleged weapons of mass destruction. However, Israel had planed the anthrax attacks upon America years before the discovery of the al-Qaeda plot. The anthrax hoaxes that preceded the anthrax attacks were an integral part of the conspiracy.

The planning for the anthrax attacks of 2001 goes back to at least 1997. In April of that year, the national headquarters of the Jewish organization B'nai B'rith in Washington, DC received a package that contained a petri dish labeled *Anthracis Yersinia*, implying the dish contained bacteria that could cause anthrax infections or plague. The dish had been broken and was leaking a red fluid. Tests later determined the petri dish to be relatively harmless, and it did not contain the bacteria *Anthracis* or *Yersinia.* However, it did contain *Bacillus cereus,* which is less dangerous and is sometimes used as an anthrax simulant.

A two-page typed letter accompanied the petri dish. The letter was largely incoherent and contained comments on Jews, Nazis, and the 'Holocaust.' The letter was signed, "The Counter Holocaust Lobbyists of Hillel." Months prior to the B'nai B'rith hoax, a movement was forming on college campuses calling for a debate to question some assertions concerning the 'Holocaust.' Those responsible for the mailing chose to blame historical revisionists or so-called "Holocaust deniers."

The B'nai B'rith hoax received a great deal of media attention. Television outlets like CNN and other networks broadcast the incident live to a national audience. The nearby area was evacuated, and for a period of time office personnel were quarantined. The case has never been solved, and the cost to the government was two million dollars.

Israel's Mossad most likely was responsible for the mailing. The hoax benefited Israel and the Jews in several ways. First, national publicity was generated in this first major anthrax scare. Second, sympathy was elicited for the Jewish organization that was attacked. Third, the persons supposedly responsible—namely, those who have questions concerning the 'Holocaust'—were demonized. However, on another level, something more important was achieved: This would be the first anthrax hoax used to implicate Dr. Steven Hatfill.

Dr. Hatfill was attending a terrorism seminar in Washington, DC the day the B'nai B'rith incident occurred. At the time, Hatfill was employed as a researcher at the National Institutes of Health where he likely had access to petri dishes containing bacteria. This, in all probability, was the reason a petri dish from a bioresearch lab was used this time, instead of a suspicious powder. This anthrax hoax, along with others that followed, would help to make a circumstantial case against Dr. Hatfill if a real anthrax attack ever occurred.

Dr. Hatfill likely came to the attention of the Mossad when he first appeared in a photo with Afrikaner Resistance Movement leader Eugene Terre'Blanche and members of the paramilitary unit Aquila. The Mossad's front organization, the South African Board of Jewish Deputies, would have taken notice of the newspaper photo. As an organization, they keep track of individuals and groups they consider hostile to Jews and their interests. The Afrikaner Resistance Movement would be the type of organization they would want to monitor. The information they obtained on Dr. Hatfill could very well have been passed onto the Mossad. In America, the sister organization to the South African Board of Jewish Deputies is the Anti-Defamation League (ADL).

Don Foster, an English professor at Vassar and at times a forensic linguistic analyst for the FBI, reviewed several of the anthrax hoaxes in an article he wrote for *Vanity Fair*. Foster believes Hatfill is a good candidate for the anthrax perpetrator, and is impressed by the apparent relationship between Hatfill and the anthrax hoaxes. Foster said, "When I line up Hatfill's known movements with the postmark locations of reported biothreats, those hoax anthrax attacks appeared to trail him like a vapor cloud." In his article, Foster implies Hatfill was responsible for the B'nai B'rith hoax and suggests he may have been responsible for others that followed.

Another hoax, that Foster thinks "trails" Hatfill, occurred in August 1998. In this incident, a white powder was dispersed throughout several floors of the Finney State Office Building in Wichita, Kansas. Foster suggests this was a re-enactment of a bioterror scenario that Hatfill developed as a part of his responsibilities while employed at USAMRIID. Foster also implies Hatfill and his new mentor, anthrax expert William Patrick, were in the area of Wichita the day of the incident and later arrived together in San Diego to attend an anti-terrorism conference.

An anti-government Christian Identity group claimed responsibility for the office building incident in a rambling 11-page letter. Christian Identity is an unusual religious sect that looks upon Jews in less than flattering terms. A basic tenet of Christian Identity is the claim that the "chosen people" of the Old Testament are the peoples of Europe and their descendants, with the Jews of today considered to be the offspring of Satan.

The Wichita incident is similar to the B'nai B'rith anthrax hoax. Both were designed to implicate Dr. Hatfill solely by his presence in the areas where they took place. In both cases the Mossad wanted the public to know that groups thought to be hostile to Jewish interests had claimed responsibility for the terrorist acts. Also, the same type of rambling disjointed letters accompanied the attacks, probably written in an effort to mislead the FBI and other investigators.

Several other anthrax hoaxes were designed to implicate Dr. Hatfill. The most important one was the anthrax hoax letter mailed from London and addressed to Senator Tom Daschle. Hatfill at this time was in England training with the United Nations weapons inspectors. The Mossad used the anthrax hoaxes to misdirect the authorities. By following these false leads the FBI and other law enforcement agencies wasted valuable time and resources investigating and shadowing Dr. Hatfill.

Israel is perhaps the only country in the world that could have succeeded in the anthrax attack upon America. With the help of Jewish scientists from the former Soviet Union, Israel's bio-weapons research has probably surpassed that of all other nations. The Soviet Union's bio-weapons program had 32,000 scientists and staff working in 40 different research and production facilities. Two thousand of these scientists worked exclusively on the Soviet anthrax program. A significant number of these scientists may have immigrated to Israel and become employed in her bio-weapons programs.

Anthony Cordesman with the Center for Strategic and International Studies wrote a report, "National Developments of Biological Weapons in the Middle East: An Analytic Overview," assessing Israel's bio-weapons programs. Cordesman reports Israel has stockpiled anthrax at her bio-warfare center, and at times provides Israeli intelligence with deadly pathogens to be used for assassinations. Anthony Cordesman unknowingly has given us the "smoking gun" pointing to Israel's responsibility in the anthrax attacks.

On the day of September 11, 2001 the Mossad was waiting for al-Qaeda to strike. Soon the greatest bio-terror event in history would follow. Different grades of anthrax were prepared at Israel's bio-warfare center at Ness Ziona. Coarse brown granules that would cause only skin infections were prepared for the New York media letters. The deadlier "weapons grade" anthrax was reserved for Senators Daschle and Leahy.

The envelopes were pre-addressed and waiting for the letters and the anthrax material to be placed in them. The letters were written with only the date at the top to be added later. In his analysis, Ed Lake has suggested the "09-11-01" top portion of the letter seems to have been printed at a different time and perhaps by a different hand. This would be a necessary precaution if the terror flights were changed or delayed by a few days.

On the day of September 11, or perhaps shortly thereafter, copies of the original letter were made at a publicly accessible copier in New Jersey. Through analysis of scratches on the glass, the FBI is certain they have found the copier used to produce the letters. The original letter used to make the copies may have been destroyed. The Mossad officer could have taken the copies to Israel the next day. The anthrax letters were likely prepared, taped, and brought back to America days later via Israeli diplomatic pouch into New York City or Washington, DC. This speculative scenario could have all occurred within the week that followed September 11. The first batch of anthrax letters was mailed in Trenton, New Jersey, exactly one week after the devastating airplane attacks.

Israel took a huge gamble when she attacked America, but this was not the first time. In June 1967, at the height of the Six Day Arab-Israeli War, Israel deliberately attacked an American intelligence ship, the USS *Liberty*, in an attempt to destroy the vessel and to lay blame on the Arabs. They failed to sink the ship, but 34 navy crewmen were killed and 171 were wounded. A cover-up was ordered at the highest levels by the U.S. government.

Israel must not be allowed to continue in her attacks upon America or in her misdirection of our foreign policy. Israel must be disarmed of all weapons of mass destruction. If not stopped, she may strike again, perhaps this time by exploding a radioactive "dirty bomb" in a major American city. Fake signal intelligence could be

generated by Israel to indicate that a country such as Iran was responsible. Jewish neo-conservatives in America could then argue that since we were attacked by Iran with a radioactive weapon, our response against Iran should also be nuclear. If President Bush acceded to this advice, Israel would have destroyed yet another Middle Eastern country and brought us another step closer to global war. Israel is an enemy, not a friend. America and her political leadership must finally realize this important fact.

Robert Pate has been a researcher for NATIONAL VANGUARD *since 1984. He lives with his wife in Tennessee.*

SOURCES

The letters and notes:

Ed Lake, www.anthraxinvestigation.com

"Analysis of the Anthrax Attacks," by Barbara Hatch Rosenberg, www.fas.org

"Brokaw's aide tests positive, Suspicious letters to NBC, *N.Y. Times* sent from St. Petersburg, authorities say," by David Ballingrud, Mike Brassfield, and Wes Allison, *St. Petersburg Times*, October 13, 2001.

"Anxious about Anthrax," by Sharon Begley and Michael Isikoff, *Newsweek*, October 22, 2001.

"Sorting the Mail, Searching for 'a Shadow Enemy,'" by Guy Gugliotta, October 28, 2001.

"Anthrax Pervades Florida Site, and Experts See Likeness to That Sent to Senators," by Andrew C. Revkin and Dana Canedy, *New York Times*, December 5, 2001.

Graysmith, Robert *AMERITHRAX The Hunt for the Anthrax Killer.* New York 2003, pages 56, 67,104,105.

The anthrax material:

"Analysis of the New York Post envelope," by Richard M. Smith, www.ComputerBytesMan.com

"Letter to Brokaw traced," by Joshua Robin and Rocco Parascndola, *Newsday*, October 14, 2001.

"Police say letter to New York Post is anthrax-laced," from staff and wire reports, *Baltimore Sun*, October 21, 2001.

"Gov. Ridge, Medical Authorities Discuss Anthrax," White House Press Briefing, October 25, 2001.

"Ridge, Thompson Hold Briefing," White House Press Briefing, October 29, 2001.

"The Ames Strain" by Peter J. Boyer, *New Yorker*, November 12, 2001.

"Leahy Letter May Have Been Misdirected to Va," by Susan Schmidt, *Washington Post*, November 21, 2001.

"Leahy Mail Holds A Note Identical To Daschle Letter," by Susan Schmidt, *Washington Post*, December 7, 2001.

"The Anthrax Probe Ranges Far and Wide As Investigators Scour Tips, Quotes & Research Trash for Leads," by Mark Schoofs, Gary Fields, and Maureen Tkacik, *Wall Street Journal*, December 11, 2001.

"Army Working on Weapons-Grade Anthrax," by Rick Weiss and Joby Warrick, *Washington Post*, December 13, 2001.

"Anthrax Easy To Get Out Of Lab, Security Was Based On Trust In Scientists," by Jack Dolan, Dave Altimari, and Lynne Tuohy, *Hartford Courant*, December 20, 2001.

"Official: Unusual coating in anthrax mailings," by Kelli Arena, CNN Washington Bureau, April 11, 2002.

"A Sophisticated Strain of Anthrax," by Mark Hosenball, John Barry, and Daniel Klaidman, *Newsweek*, April 15, 2002.

"Anthrax Sent Through Mail Gained Potency by the Letter," by William J. Broad and David Johnston, *New York Times*, May 7, 2002.

"Anthrax in Mail was Newly Made, Investigators Say," David Johnston and William J. Broad, *New York Times*, June 23, 2002.

"Anthrax killer `could grow more bacteria'", by Julian Borger, *The Guardian* (U.K.), June 24, 2002.

"Still No Arrest in Anthrax Probe, but 'Progress' is Noted," by Guy Gugliotta, *Washington Post*, August 4, 2002.

"The Anthrax Letters, Five Deaths, Five Grams, Five Clues," by Paul de Armond, *Albion Monitor*, August 16, 2002.

"FBI's Theory On Anthrax Is Doubted," by Guy Gugliotta and Gary Matsumoto, *Washington Post*, October 28, 2002.

"FBI fails to re-create anthrax production," by Toni Locy, *USA Today*, September 29, 2003.

"The Message In The Anthrax," by Don Foster, *Vanity Fair*, October 2003.

Dr. Steven J. Hatfill and Barbara Hatch Rosenberg:

"Backgrounder: The Jewish Defense League," www.adl.org

"Never say never again," by Tom Tugend, The Internet *Jerusalem Post*, December 27, 2001.

"FBI: Letter in Daschle's office a hoax," CNN.com, January 3, 2002.

"War on terror: FBI 'guilty of cover-up' over anthrax suspect," by Nick Peters, *Scotland on Sunday*, June 16, 2002.

"Who is Steven Hatfill?" by Laura Rozen, *The American Prospect*, June 27, 2002.

"The Case of Dr. Hatfill: Suspect Or Pawn," by Dave Altimari, Jack Dolan, and David Lightman, *Hartford Courant*, June 27, 2002.

"AWB sympathizer quizzed over anthrax killings," by Tony Weaver, *Independent* (South Africa), July 1, 2002.

"Steven Jay Hatfill," by Stephen P. Dresch, *Forensic Intelligence International*, July 6, 2002.

"Scientist says FBI asked about setup," by Guy Taylor, *Washington Times*, August 3, 2002.

"Ex-Army Scientist Denies Role in Anthrax Attacks," by Tom Jackman, *Washington Post*, August 11, 2002.

"US 'Anthrax Suspect' Trained At Porton Down," by Nicholas Rufford and Sarah Baxter, *London Sunday Times*, August 11, 2002.

"Murky past of a US bio-warrior," by Marlene Burger, The Johannesburg Mail & Guardian, August 16, 2002.

"Media Manufacture Cloud of Suspicion Over Hatfill," by Nicholas Stix, *Insight*, August 26, 2002.

"Anthrax Figure Fired From New Job, Hatfill Was To Begin Working This Week," by Jack Dolan, *Hartford Courant*, September 4, 2002.

"Justice Official Sought Hatfill's Ouster, E-Mail Told LSU Not To Use Him," by Dave Altimari and Jack Dolan, *Hartford Courant*, September 5, 2002.

"The Hunting of Steven J. Hatfill," by David Tell, *Weekly Standard*, September 16, 2002.

"Anthrax probe raises doubts on FBI," by Wayne Washington, *Boston Globe*, September 23, 2002.

"The 'person of interest' emerges, New buzzword for law enforcement still hard to define," MSNBC, Associated Press, May 17, 2003.

Dr. Ayaad Assaad and Dr. Philip M. Zack:

"Turmoil In A Perilous Place, Angry Scientists Allege Racism At Biowarfare Lab," by Lynne Tuohy and Jack Dolan, *Hartford Courant*, December 19, 2001.

"Anthrax Missing From Army Lab," by Jack Dolan and Dave Altimari, *Hartford Courant*, January 20, 2002.

"Detrick's security lapses date to 1980s; Anthrax not first biological agent to disappear from base," *The Gazette* (Frederick, Maryland), by Nicole Belanger, January 24, 2002.

"Anthrax probe focuses on letter," by Jerry Seper, *Washington Times*, February 26, 2002.

"Anthrax, Assaad, Terror & the Timeline," by Ed Lake, www.anthraxinvestigation.com, March 3, 2002.

"On the trail of the anthrax killer, Letter to FBI falsely accusing scientist a possible clue pointing to U.S. Army lab," by Paul Koring, *Toronto Globe and Mail*, March 6, 2002.

"While media spotlights one anthrax suspect, another is too hot to touch," by Delinda Curtiss Hanley, *Arab News*, August 16, 2002.

"The Message In The Anthrax," by Don Foster, *Vanity Fair*, October 2003.

Possible suspects:

"Experts doubt anthrax a domestic plot," by Ron Martz, *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, October 19, 2001.

"Pre-9/11 'Terrorist' Mail Came From Indy," by Murray Weiss, *New York Post*, November 1, 2001.

"CIA Denies Being Source of Anthrax," by Matthew L. Wald, *Los Angeles Times*, December 17, 2001.

Israeli means:

"Israel and Chemical/Biological Weapons: History, Deterrence, and Arms Control," by Dr. Avner Cohen, *The Nonproliferation Review*, Fall-Winter 2001.

Israeli motive:

"Stop Arms to human rights abusers! Defend the Leahy Law!" Amnesty International USA, www.amnestyusa.org

"Tourture in Israel has again become routine," by Moshe Reinfeld, *Haaretz*, August 18, 2003.

Israeli opportunity:

"Suspicious Activities Involving Israeli Art Students at DEA Facilities," www.cryptome.org

Fox News Series on Israeli Spying on US Telecommunications, by Carl Cameron, Fox News, www.cryptome.org

"Profiting From Terror? Worldwide probe of surge in trades days before attack," by James Toedtman and Charles V. Zehren, *Newsday*, September 19, 2001.

"Odigo says workers were warned of attack," by Yuval Dror, *Haaretz*, Sept 26, 2001.

"Internet Firm Received Message Predicting Terror Attacks in U.S." *Deutsche Presse-Agentur*, September 26, 2001.

"Odigo Clarifies Attack Messages," Newsbytes (*Washington Post*), September 28, 2001.

"Suspicious profits sit uncollected, Airlines investors seem to be lying low," by Christian Berthelsen and Scott Winokur, *San Francisco Chronicle*, September 29, 2001.

"Hijacker 'given anthrax flask by Iraqi agent,'" by Daniel McGrory, *The London Times*, October 27, 2001.

"FBI Investigates Message Warning," by Chris Griffith, *Courier Mail* (Brisbane, Australia), November 20, 2001.

"An Enigma: Vast Israeli Spy Network Dismantled in the US," by Sylvain Cypel, *Le Monde*, March 5, 2002.

"Israelis 'spied on al-Qa'ida in America,'" by John Lichfield, *The Independent* (U.K.), March 6, 2002.

"Update: The spies who came in from the art sale," by John Sugg, *Atlanta Creative Loafing*, March 20, 2002.

"The Israeli 'art student' mystery," by Christopher Ketcham, Salon.com, May 7, 2002.

"Spies, or students? Were the Israelis just trying to sell their paintings, or agents in a massive espionage ring?" by Nathan Guttman, *Haaretz*, May 13, 2002.

"Art, Espionage and Cover Ups," by Alan Simpson, *Spies Magazine*, July 11, 2002.

"Next Door to Mohammed Atta, Israeli agents were living in Florida and tailing the future death pilots - until their cover was blown," by Oliver Schrom, *Die Zeit*, October 14, 2002.

"More Missing Intelligence," by Robert Dreyfuss, *The Nation*, July 7, 2003.

"The spies who pushed for war," by Julian Borger, *The Guardian* (U.K.), July 17, 2003.

The framing of Dr. Hatfill:

"Bradley Smith and Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust (CODOH): The New College Try," www.adl.org

"Christian Identity Movement," www.religioustolerance.org

"Fire Department Response to Biological Threat at B'nai B'rith Headquarters Washington, DC," Federal Emergency Management Agency, United States Fire Administration, April 1997.

"20 FBI agents on hoax case," by Hurst Laviana, *Wichita Eagle*, August 27, 1998.

"B'nai B'rith Execs: '97 'Hoax' Was Anthrax," by Eli Kintisch, *Forward*, November 2, 2001.

"The Anthrax Files," by Nicholas D. Kristof, *New York Times*, July 12, 2002.

"Anthrax genome unveiled, DNA sequence may help stop killer in its tracks," by Helen R. Pilcher, *Nature*, May 1, 2003.

"The Message In The Anthrax," by Don Foster, *Vanity Fair*, October 2003.

Conclusion:

Ennes, James M. Jr. *Assault on the Liberty*. New York, 1979.

"The Bioweaponeers," by Richard Preston, *New Yorker*, March 9, 1998.

"National Developments of Biological Weapons in the Middle East: An Analytic Overview," by Anthony H. Cordesman, Center for Strategic and International Studies, July 2001.

"U.S. Germ Warfare Research Pushes Treaty Limits," by Judith Miller, Stephen Engelberg, and William J. Broad, *New York Times*, September 4, 2001.

"Did anyone see this hijacker in Maine?" by David Wedge and Tom Farmer, *Boston Herald*, October 5, 2001.

"The Ames Strain," by Peter J. Boyer, *New Yorker*, November 12, 2001.

"Looking For The Anthrax Man," CBSNews.com, February 26, 2002.

"Remember Anthrax?" by David Tell, *The Weekly Standard*, April 29, 2002.

"The Enemy Within? The FBI's anthrax investigation turns on itself," by Laura Rozen, *The American Prospect*, May 20, 2002.

Editorial continued from page 2

eas, we have more Local Units—and they are more active—than ever before in our history. We're holding more community meetings and European Cultural Festivals, more regional conferences, and distributing more National Alliance literature than ever before.

I recently had a conversation with the Alliance's Membership Coordinator, Mr. David Pringle, and he showed me the figures for our literature distributions over the last two years: in excess of a half million documented pieces annually. With that kind of output, it's no wonder that media coverage of the Alliance has skyrocketed. When our Colorado members hit the streets during the Kobe Bryant hearings with our 'Don't Have Sex With Blacks' public health warnings, no fewer than 120 major media outlets were alive with the story. In February, we coordinated our first official worldwide literature distribution—the 'Love Your Race' campaign. As of this writing, we have pledges to distribute almost 100,000 'Love Your Race' flyers; and that's only counting the official pledges from our members in North America.

The National Alliance surely still has a long way to go; we are nowhere near as influential as the fundamentalist churches, for example. But I do believe that we are at a tipping point, a point at which our small successes, the rightness of our Cause, the quality of our public leadership, the fearless activism of our members—and the increasingly obvious fact that we have been right all along about Jewish power—will bring vastly increased numbers to our ranks. There's more reason for hope now than there has been in years. Join us now, and help us build a new civilization.

My Experience With George Lincoln Rockwell's *This Time the World*

by Robert S. Griffin

ROCKWELL

THE BLACK COVER of the old cloth-bound book has nearly fallen off and only about half of the white coloring of the title, *This Time the World*, remains. The pages are discolored with age and have broken away from the binding in clumps. The aged appearance of the book underscores that 1961, when it was published, was over forty years ago, a long time. Riffling through the book's pages, I came upon pictures of its author, "Commander George Lincoln Rockwell," from childhood to adulthood, which signaled that this would be an autobiographical account of Rockwell's life up to that time—Rockwell was 43 in 1961. This volume is obviously not the product of a commercial publisher (J.V. Kenneth Morgan is the publisher of record—I've never heard of him/it); it has the look of having been run off on a printing press in someone's basement. I have learned that there were 380 copies in this first printing and three subsequent printings on a similarly small scale.

I write here not to offer a review of *This Time the World* or to provide an overall critique of Rockwell's thoughts and deeds. Rather, this is a report of some of what came up for me while reading this old book—thoughts, feelings, conclusions, issues. Perhaps my report will be of some worth in itself, but fundamentally this piece is about the process of reading an old book—or new one, for that matter—and getting something useful out of it. It is about engaging a book in a way that informs and guides your understanding of the past and the contemporary circumstance and your place in it. I use the word "engaging" advisedly. Reading is not always simply a matter of taking in what an author says or of allowing a book to have its effect whatever it turns out to be. Rather, reading can be, and in the case of this Rockwell book I decided that for me *should* be, an exchange with a writer in which the reader is consciously aware of himself and his circumstance, both public and private, and what he is trying to accomplish in his life. It is the active search for insight, meaning, and direction, and guides to action. I hope my account of what I did with the Rockwell book will encourage you to do something similar with books of your own choosing.

On the title page of my copy of *This Time the World*, Rockwell had written an inscription in ink with a bold and artful hand (he was a talented commercial artist): *No. 101 To: Speed Laguous – One of the worst things that ever happened to the Jew-Communists! [signed] Lincoln Rockwell* Reading the inscription, which in contrast to the rest of the book looked as if it could have been penned yesterday, I pondered the fact that the man who wrote those words is no longer alive—Rockwell was shot and killed in 1967 by a former member of the American Nazi Party he founded and led. If Rockwell had lived, he would have been, as I write this, 85 years old. I tried to imagine what this tall, slim, dark-haired, handsome man would look like now if he had lived, and what I will look like if I live to be that age. At the most basic level, all biographical and autobiographical accounts are about mortality, about life itself, and what someone does with the precious and remarkable gift of life while he possesses it. Reading about Rockwell's life from that angle and realizing that he had one chance at it and that it is forever over for him prompted me to think about what I will do with my gift of life in the time before it is over for me. We are all finite, Rockwell and you and I. It won't last forever. It will certainly end. We have but a limited time to do whatever we are going to do, leave whatever mark on the world we leave, whatever legacy, and the clock is ticking.

Rockwell writes that from early childhood the two traits that most characterized him were "a deep satisfaction in defying any overwhelming odds" and "not bowing down to tyrannical folly." Among several illustrations of these qualities he provides was the protest he staged in his last year of high school against the instructional methods of his Problems of American Democracy teacher, a Mr. Schwab, who, it seems, required his students to transcribe the textbook word for word into their notebooks while he sat at his desk occupied with other matters. Rockwell wasn't about to put up with that: "I brought pulp Western stories to class, placed my feet on the desk, and ostentatiously read these while the class bent over its mechanical task in the bulging notebooks. . . . The other kids were somewhat awed by all this, and the girls were almost terrified at such impudence in the face of the almighty."

As it turned out, Rockwell's protest resulted in his not being allowed to graduate with the rest of his class and it took him an extra year to get his high school diploma. As I read accounts of this sort, I wondered whether Rockwell's adult life would be characterized by defying odds and refusing to bow down, but then having to pay a personal price for it and not getting much if anything positive accomplished. Defying odds and refusing to bow down is not necessarily in conflict with achieving tangible positive results for yourself and others, but then again, one doesn't have to achieve anything concrete to manifest these qualities. Reading about Rockwell's classroom protest and other such episodes in his youth reminded me that I have to be clear about the results I am seeking to achieve and do achieve in my life. Particularly, I don't want to be satisfied with just being aware of what is going on with racial matters, as important as I think that is. I want to get things done. I want to make things happen in the world and I want to live honorably day-to-day. I put the book down on my coffee table and, with race as the frame of reference, reflected on what I am actually doing and accomplishing in both the public and private dimensions of my life.

Rockwell describes himself as an avowed hater. "I roundly hate," he announces. "I am proud to hate." He calls hate "a positive motivation for my activities." Among the things he hates is the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, which he says is "trying to BULLY people out of their ideas and open discussion of facts" through the use of "smear, economic persecution, and suppression of facts." Rockwell's discussion of hate—and I'm trying to think of where else I have read or heard a case made for hate—prompted me to think about hate in my own life. I have decided that I have spent a good deal of my life in the hands of the enemy, as it were. By the enemy, I mean elements in the society that discouraged and distracted me from becoming a proud and contributing member of my people, European-heritage people, White people. Those elements include the schools all the way through college, the environment in the university where I have worked, and the mass media.

Since I have gotten relatively free of the enemy (it is so hard to live in this culture and be completely free of them; they are ubiquitous) one of the tasks I have consciously taken on is to free myself of the conditioning that has persisted from those experiences. One of the ideas that was drilled into me is that hate is a bad, unacceptable emotion and motivation for me, and that I must suppress it, and certainly I must be self-condemning if I expe-

rience it and contrite if I'm caught manifesting it. I have come to see the value in possessing a complete repertoire of affective responses and prompts to action and being able to draw upon whichever one of them is appropriate to a particular circumstance, event, or person. Love may be the appropriate response, indifference may be, and, indeed, and Rockwell's right, hate may be the appropriate response and the best foundation from which to take vigorous and effective action. I have come to realize that not allowing hate to be an option cripples me. It softens me, rounds off my edges, makes me more passive and deferring, and I think at some level the people sending me their insistent anti-hate messages know that. I've noticed that while they are telling me not to hate, they feel very free to hate whomever they choose, including me. All to say, I'm working on my hating. For that matter, I'm working on my loving, too, because another past conditioning that exists as a residue of my time in the hands of the enemy that I need to undo is the notion that I shouldn't love my own people.

After a number of false starts in conservative endeavors, Rockwell eventually came to the conclusion in the late 1950s that appropriating the images and associations of the German National Socialists, arguably the most vilified group in the history of the world, was necessary to bring his cause to the attention of the public because such a course would most certainly get mainstream media coverage. We needed "a smashing, dramatic approach which could NOT be ignored," he writes, and "a super-tough hard-core of fighting men to enable such a dramatic presentation." So Rockwell decked himself out in a brown uniform with a swastika armband, recruited a few Party members whom he greeted with the Roman salute, and began organizing demonstrations and speeches in the Washington, D.C. area.

Reading this section of the book, I thought about the basic motivations that Rockwell had described earlier. An American Nazi Party was certainly a good context for defying overwhelming odds and not bowing down to tyrannical folly. But was it a good vehicle for getting anything much accomplished? I thought about Rockwell's tendency, as revealed in the book, to get into a matter just deeply enough to provide himself with a positive rationale for action but not deeply enough for him to come to grips with the possible negative consequences of those actions. For example, it is true that this Nazi Party banner was going to garner him media attention. But exactly what kind of media attention? I don't think it would have taken any great prescience on his part to realize that the media were going to portray him as a malevolent buffoon, and that is precisely what happened. And as for the "super-tough fighting men" he was going to attract, if becoming a 'Nazi' is part of the package, even if they are super-tough, they might well also be dysfunctional human beings in one way or another. Rockwell appears not to have thought about this possibility, and, indeed, a number of defective people did come into his organization, and one of them murdered him while he was doing his laundry on a Saturday morning. I made a personal note to take more time to think through all of the consequences, both positive and negative, of whatever projects I take on.

When Rockwell and his "stormtroopers" started engaging in public demonstrations and speeches in the Washington, D.C. area, his remarkable courage came to the fore. He describes going to the Mall to make a speech "knowing I might be killed or injured or arrested." I tried to imagine myself on a sunny spring day walking to a speaker's platform knowing what Rockwell knew about what might well happen to me in an hour or two. When Rockwell arrived for his speech, sure enough, two hundred members of a Jewish organization had showed up—"BIG, husky, mean-looking." Shortly into his speech, they charged "like an avalanche of wild beasts, screaming and howling for my guts." The battle lasted four or five minutes with the result that one of Rockwell's nine men was choked unconscious, another had an ear bitten partly off, another had a knee permanently damaged, and another was bleeding profusely. Rockwell himself, after he "gave a Jew a dose of his own medicine," was hauled off to a urine-smelling jail cell with two black eyes, a broken nose, and a torn mouth.

Most racially conscious and committed White people aren't facing the physical threat that Rockwell stood up to back then, but nevertheless they need to have courage as they confront other kinds of threats to their well-being: among them scorn, exclusion, harassment of their family, and economic reprisal. I see courage as doing what is right in the face of fear and the possibility of negative personal consequence. Rockwell refers to cowardice as "the disease of our modern life," and I think he has a point. I'm working on my courage. I think I learn to be courageous in the same way I learn anything else, through practice: as situations present themselves in my life, doing the courageous thing instead of the fearful or safe thing. Rockwell's example is an inspiration to me in this regard.

What particularly came through to me in the last half of *This Time the World* is how glorious and empowering it was for Rockwell to have eventually found a mission to guide his life and give it meaning. It turned out that he did do more as an adult than just defy odds and refuse to bow down to tyrannical folly wherever he saw occasions of it. He was more focused than that. His life had a purpose that he understood and could articulate. He had a mission; to protect and contribute to the betterment of his people, his race. That mission gave his life thrust, confidence, and clarity:

"Where before I had wanted to fight the forces of tyranny and regression, now I HAD to fight them. But even more, I felt within me the POWER to prevail—strength beyond my own strength—the ability to do the right thing even when I was personally overwhelmed by events. And that strength has not yet failed me. Nor will it fail. . . . I knew with calm certainty exactly what to do, and I knew, in a hard-to-explain sense, what was ahead. It was something like looking at the road from the air after seeing only the curve ahead."

These past few years, I have felt a sense of mission in my own life, and it has motivated and strengthened me and given me direction and, if I may say so, led to accomplishments that I am proud of. It has resulted in personal happiness beyond anything I have ever experienced —happiness in the sense of satisfaction, a feeling of gratification, the experience that "yes, life is good" and "this life I am living is right for me." Reading what Rockwell wrote those many years ago about how, as he got older, he developed a mission to give direction to his life affirmed that I am on the proper course in my own life now, finally, and that I should do whatever it takes to heighten my own sense of mission in the time I have left on this earth.

Reading *This Time the World* was a very useful and enjoyable time for me. If you would like to read the book, it is online at nationalvanguard.com/tttw.zip. A couple of other old books I recommend are Rockwell's better-known *White Power*, and Carleton Putnam's *Race and Reason*, both available through National Vanguard Books (natvan.com/catalog or via mail at Box 330, Hillsboro WV 24946 USA). While you are there, check out some of the other books in the catalog. There is some great reading—new as well as old—contained in that list.

Robert S. Griffin is the author of *One Sheaf, One Vine: Racially Conscious White Americans Talk About Race*, newly available from www.1stbooks.com.



Works by William Pierce

The Turner Diaries: This is the book which the controlled media have been claiming hysterically is the "blueprint" for everything from the Oklahoma City bombing to the takeover of the U.S. government by "White extremists." It has received more media attention than any other book in print and is the book most hated and feared by the gun-control crowd. *The Turner Diaries* is the book proponents of "multiculturalism" and the New World Order have tried desperately to ban from bookstores, so that ordinary Americans won't be able to read it. Too many things predicted in the book have been coming true, they believe, and they're afraid that if more people read the book the rest of it also may come true. Actually, says the author, the book is merely a vehicle, in the form of an exciting novel about urban guerilla warfare and revolution, for his ideas about race and society. You'll have to read it for yourself to see who's right. 211 pages, Soft Cover; item 202, $12.95

The Turner Diaries Book on CD: *Hear* one of the most famous and controversial books ever written, on audio CD! *The Turner Diaries*, read by its author the late Dr. William L. Pierce. Audio CD Set, length 10 hours; item 2068, $32.00

Hunter: This second novel packs at least as strong a message as *The Turner Diaries*. That message is: When an honorable man confronts evil, he must neither submit to it nor ignore it, but he must take up arms against it. That is what Vietnam veteran Oscar Yeager does in response to treason, race-mixing, homosexuality, and other evils in Washington, DC, with spectacular results. He declares war on the enemies of his people, and after that no politician, media liar, or other degenerate is safe. 259 pages, Soft Cover; item 140, $12.95

Human Dignity: A Racial Ethic; and Cosmotheism: Wave of the Future: The first speech on this cassette is Dr. Pierce's response to the misuse of the term "human dignity" by egalitarians to draw a gulf between man and the rest of Nature; in the second, Dr. Pierce explains that the basic idea underlying our racial struggle—which he calls Cosmotheism—is the idea upon which all the fields of human knowledge are converging. Audio Tape; item 412, $9.95

Our Cause: Originally given in the late 1970s as a recruiting speech for the National Alliance, this is an in-depth exposition of the spiritual and philosophical basis of our struggle. "Our purpose is the Creator's purpose," says Dr. Pierce, "the purpose for which Beethoven wrote and Newton pondered...." No understanding of the White resistance can be complete without the insights provided by this tape. Audio Tape; item 414, $9.95

Works by Revilo Oliver

The Jewish Strategy: Few other writers explain the Jewish problem like Revilo P. Oliver does. This book's 13 chapters show rare insight into Jewish history, including details of their iniquities and intriques in the days of ancient Rome. The plight of Western man is discussed, and a realistic appraisal of Jewish survival/dominance techniques is given. The Jewish strategy is shown at work in first century Alexandria, which was the "New York City" of ancient times. Oliver explores the question of whether Jewish racial solidarity is based on conspiracy or instinct. Many other relevant points are also considered. If you like erudite, sometimes tongue-in-cheek, yet short and to-the-point treatments of the Jewish Problem, then you will enjoy this book. 91 pages plus index, Soft Cover; item 1037, $10.00

After Fifty Years: Color film of Dr. Revilo Oliver's inspiring speech was created in 1969 for the National Youth Alliance, predecessor to the National Alliance. An important historical document recording the thoughts of one of the greatest Americans of the 20th century during a period of revolutionary upheaval. Oliver calls for decent but disenchanted American youth to heed the call for a White imperium. Video Tape (NTSC/American format only); item 6214, $19.95

Periodicals

National Vanguard magazine subscription: Six issues of the magazine you hold in your hand, the premier feature magazine for people of European descent around the world, published since 1970. $18 in the US, $26 in Canada, $36 in the rest of the world, postpaid.

Resistance magazine subscription: Four quarterly issues of the exciting, entertaining, and informative magazine for White youth and the growing pro-White music scene. $20 US and Canada, $30 in the rest of the world, postpaid.

Free Speech magazine subscription: Twelve monthly issues of the magazine that gives you each week's *American Dissident Voices* radio broadcast in illustrated, permanent printed form. $40 in the US and Canada, $80 in the rest of the world, postpaid. Defenders of the First Amendment, who support our radio broadcast with $400 per year or more, receive, in addition to *Free Speech,* cassette or CD copies of our *ADV* broadcast every week.

Send $3 postage and handling for the first non-postpaid item, then 50¢ for each additional item in the US, $5 and $1 respectively elsewhere in the world. Visa and Mastercard accepted.

NATIONAL VANGUARD BOOKS

BOX 330 • HILLSBORO • WV 24946 USA

send $3 for our 95-page catalog, or order online at natvan.com/catalog